Provable convergence rate for asynchronous Schwarz

Daniel B. Szyld

Temple University, Philadelphia

INRIA Workshop 9 June 2022

Collaborators: Erik Boman (Sandia), Faiçal Chaouqui (Temple), Edmond Chow (Georgia Tech.), Mireille El Haddad (Université Laval, Quebec), Andreas Frommer (Wuppertal), José Garay (Lousiana State), Christian Glusa (Sandia), Frédéric Magoulès (CentraleSupelec, Paris-Saclay), Ichitaro Yamazaki (Sandia)

Thanks to DOE DE-SC0016578

(日本本語を本書を本書を入して)

Outline of the talk

- Restricted Additive and Optimized Schwarz methods
- Asynchronous methods
- Some numerical experiments (one- and two-level methods)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Models of asynchronous methods
- Some convergence theorems
- New convergence results

The general problem

Ax = b

$$egin{cases} \mathcal{L}(u)=f ext{ in } \Omega \ \mathcal{C}(u)=g ext{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

The general problem

Ax = b

$$egin{cases} \mathcal{L}(u) = f ext{ in } \Omega \ \mathcal{C}(u) = g ext{ on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

 Domain decomposition (classical Schwarz): Solve on subdomains with artificial Dirichlet transmission conditions



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Alternating Schwarz (aka multiplicative Schwarz)



- General idea of *alternating* Schwarz method: solve on left domain using as Dirichlet data for red line previous approx. of soln. in right domain; solve on right domain using as Dirichlet data for blue line previous approx. of soln. in left domain
- Same idea for q > 2 subdomains. Go through all q subdomains, then start again, i.e., s = 1,...,q
- One sweep is very good as a preconditioner for CG or other Krylov subspace methods

[Smith, Bjørstad, Gropp, 1996], [Quarteroni, Valli, 1999], [Toselli, Widlund, 2005], [Mathew, 2008], [Dolean, Jolivet, Nataf, 2015]

More on Schwarz

- Additive/multiplicative Schwarz can be interpreted as Block Jacobi/Gauss-Seidel with overlap. Thus convergence depends on spectral radius (or norm) of iteration operator
- Restricted Additive Schwarz (RAS): compute with overlap, communicate without overlap¹



¹[Cai, Sarkis, 1999], [Frommer, S, 2001]

Overlap



For
$$i=1,\ldots,q$$

 $A_{ii}x_i^{(k+1)}=b_i-\sum_{j
eq i}A_{ij}x_j^{(k)}$

Not convergent as a solver, double count on overlap RAS: Keep only restriction of $x_i^{(k+1)}$ to non-overlapping variables Take-home message 1: Overlap pays off!

Alternating Schwarz as fixed point method

 Can interpret Schwarz iterations as a fixed point map from boundary values to boundary values v = Tv

Optimized Schwarz Methods (OSM)

- For example for elliptic problems: Robin transmission conditions - say ∂_νu(x) + αu(x) Optimal convergence is obtained by optimizing the value of α (this is called OO0)
- Second order transmission conditions: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \alpha u + \beta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \tau^2}$ (two parameters, called OO2)
- Algebraic version (no restriction on domain shape or PDE) (Block Gauss-Seidel with overlap and changing some entries in overlap)
- Optimized Schwarz (or optimized RAS) can be very fast as a solver

[Gander, Halpern, Nataf, 2001], [Japhet, Nataf, Rogier, 2001],
[Dolean, Lanteri, Nataf, 2002], [Côté, Gander, Laayouni, Loisel, 2004],
[Gander, 2006], [Chevalier, Nataf, 2007], [Loisel, S., 2010]
[Dubois, Gander, Loisel, St-Cyr, S., 2012], [Maday, Magoulés, 2006, 2007],
[Magoulés, Roux, Salmon, 2004], [Magoulés, Roux, Series, 2005, 2006],
[Nier, 1998/9] [Dolean, Jolivet, Nataf, 2015]

Algebraic Optimized Schwarz Methods (OSM)



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Figure: Square domain, two subdomains, alternating Schwarz From [Gander, Loisel, S., 2012]

New Architectures, New Paradigms

- Exascale machines, hundreds of thousands of processors
- Communication is usually the bottleneck
- Inner products are prohibitive
- We repeat: For DD, usually outer Krylov, inner RAS / ORAS (preconditioning)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

 One idea: Reverse the order, ORAS (or two-level RAS) as outer (solver), Krylov inner (for local problems)

New Architectures, New Paradigms

- Exascale machines, hundreds of thousands of processors
- Communication is usually the bottleneck
- Inner products are prohibitive
- We repeat: For DD, usually outer Krylov, inner RAS / ORAS (preconditioning)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- One idea: Reverse the order, ORAS (or two-level RAS) as outer (solver), Krylov inner (for local problems)
- Another idea: Let us do this asynchronously!

What we do

We do this asynchronously!

For each s, repeat until global convergence test satisfied

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}\left(u^{(s)}\right) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega^{(s)}, \\ \mathcal{C}(u^{(s)}) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \cap \partial\Omega^{(s)}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{l}^{(s)}} - \Lambda^{(s-)}\right) u^{(s)} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{l}^{(s)}} - \Lambda^{(s-)}\right) u^{(s-1)} \text{ on } \Gamma_{l}^{(s)}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{r}^{(s)}} - \Lambda^{(s+)}\right) u^{(s)} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{r}^{(s)}} - \Lambda^{(s+)}\right) u^{(s+1)} \text{ on } \Gamma_{r}^{(s)}. \end{cases}$$

Each local processor proceeds with whatever boundary information it has, even if it may be repeated. Stopping criterion also asynchronous.

In process i

- Read x_j ($j \neq i$) (say from shared memory - or from local memory) - Solve

$$A_{ii}x_i = b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij}x_j$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Write restricted values of x_i (to shared memory - or other processors)

In process i

- Read x_j ($j \neq i$) (say from shared memory - or from local memory) - Solve

$$A_{ii}x_i = b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij}x_j$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Write restricted values of x_i (to shared memory - or other processors)

No iteration counts

In process i

- Read x_j ($j \neq i$) (say from shared memory - or from local memory) - Solve

$$A_{ii}x_i = b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij}x_j$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- Write restricted values of x_i (to shared memory - or other processors)

- No iteration counts
- Can tag x_i with wall clock when writing it

In process i

- Read x_j ($j \neq i$) (say from shared memory - or from local memory) - Solve

$$A_{ii}x_i = b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij}x_j$$

- Write restricted values of x_i (to shared memory or other processors)
 - No iteration counts
 - Can tag x_i with wall clock when writing it
 - Take-home message 2: Asynchronous iterations work very well!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

An application. Numerical experiments

Chicxulub Crater, created by a collision of an asteroid approx. 66 million years ago: Cretaceous-Paleogen boundary: extinction of dinosaurs, approx. diameter 180km (pictures NASA, 2010)



Our experiments

We want to compute the gravitational potential Φ on a parallelepiped geometric domain of dimensions $250km \times 250km \times 15km$.



Finite element mesh

Equation to solve

$$\Delta \Phi = -4\pi G \delta \rho$$

- $G = 6.672 \times 10^{-11} m^3 kg^{-1}s^{-2}$ gravitational constant
- δρ anomaly density distribution computed from data acquisition on a salt dome (produced by the impact)



Close up of the salt dome geometry [Magoules, S., Venet, 2017]

Three discretizations of box

- case I has 2 491 632 DOF (256 subdomains)
- case II has 19 933 056 DOF (512 subdomains)
- case III has 146 707 292 DOF (1024 subdomains)
- 1068 processors 17,088 cores (half 1.6 Ghz with 2x2MB of cache, half 2.93 Ghz with 2x4MB of cache)
- (Synchronous) OSM and asynchronous OSM
- Compute optimal parameters using CMA-ES
- In each subdomain solve linear system directly

000 – case	iter	time	upt min	upt max	time (sec)	
l (256)	1722	43	1030	1917	40	
II (512)	3379	777	2257	4438	591	
III (1024)	8331	3888	5251	13274	863	
002 – case	iter	time	upt min	upt max	time (sec)	
l (256)	575	14	309	1334	13	
II (512)	938	214	627	2714	176	
III (1024)	1850	863	811	4820	352	4

Two-level RAS. 3D example. Weak scaling.



Each subdomain about 40K unknowns. 64, 256 and 4096 subdomains. Balanced load. [Glusa, Boman, Chow, Rajamanickam, S., 2020]

(日)

э

Repeating

- Overlap is worth considering
- Asynchronous Optimized Schwarz and two-level RAS work well

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- and they scale well
- No communication bottleneck, no synchronization!

Asynchronous parallel methods for fixed point problems

Long history mostly from the 1980's and 1990's Very selected references: Papers: [Chazan, Miranker, 1969], [Robert, 1976], [Baudet, 1978], [El Tarazi, 1982], [Bertsekas, 1983], [El Baz, Miellou, Spiteri, 1996], [Üresin, Dubois, 1989] Books: [Bertsekas, Tsitsiklis, 1989], [Bahi, Contassot-Vivier, Couturier,2008] Surveys: [Frommer, S., 2000], [Spiteri, 2020]

All theory is based on product spaces (subdomains or group of variables, including the overlap case). Essentially (linear and nonlinear) block Jacobi. Inherently slow. Asynchronous BJ faster but still slow.

Asynchronous parallel methods for fixed point problems

Long history mostly from the 1980's and 1990's Very selected references: Papers: [Chazan, Miranker, 1969], [Robert, 1976], [Baudet, 1978], [El Tarazi, 1982], [Bertsekas, 1983], [El Baz, Miellou, Spiteri, 1996], [Üresin, Dubois, 1989] Books: [Bertsekas, Tsitsiklis, 1989], [Bahi, Contassot-Vivier, Couturier,2008] Surveys: [Frommer, S., 2000], [Spiteri, 2020]

All theory is based on product spaces (subdomains or group of variables, including the overlap case). Essentially (linear and nonlinear) block Jacobi. Inherently slow. Asynchronous BJ faster but still slow. What is different now? Now, OSM fast, AOSM fast.

Mathematical Models: Asynchronous iterations for x = Tx

For each time stamp $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I^k \subseteq \{1, \ldots, q\}$ (the set of variables written at time stamp k) and $(s_1(k), \ldots, s_q(k)) \in \mathbb{N}_0^q$ where $s_j(k)$ is the tag of variable j available when computation starts ending in a variable i written at time stamp k, such that (typical three assumptions)

$$\begin{split} s_j(k) &< k \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, q\}, \ k \in \mathbb{N} \\ (\text{only read variables already computed}) \\ \lim_{k \to \infty} s_j(k) &= \infty \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \\ (\text{no information is stale forever}) \\ |\{k \in \mathbb{N} : i \in I^k\}| &= \infty \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, q\} \\ (\text{each variable is eventually updated}) \end{split}$$

Mathematical Models: Asynchronous iterations for x = Tx

Given an initial vector $x^0 \in E = E_1 \times \ldots \times E_q$, the iteration

$$\mathbf{x}_i^k = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}_i(\mathbf{x}_1^{s_1(k)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q^{s_q(k)}) & \text{ for } i \in I^k \\ \mathbf{x}_i^{k-1} & \text{ for } i \notin I^k, \end{cases}$$

is termed an *asynchronous iteration* (with *strategy* I^k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and *delays* $d_i(k) = k - s_i(k)$, $i = 1, \dots, q, k \in \mathbb{N}$).

For bounded delays, there exist d such that $d_i(k) \leq d$ for all i, k.

Typical convergence theorem

For a fixed point iteration $x(k+1) = \mathcal{T}x(k)$,

if $\|\mathcal{T}\| < 1$, for some operator norm conformal with the product space, and with the typical assumptions,

asynchronous iteration converges to the unique fixed point.

e.g., [El Tarazi, 1982], [Bertsekas, 1983]

Notes: No convergence rate (and no iteration counts!) In other theorems, condition is $\rho(|\mathcal{T}|) < 1$. We used these theorems to show convergence for AOSM in some settings and for two-level asyncrhonous RAS

Randomized view of Asynchronous Iterations (2002)

At each time stamp k,

$$x_i^k = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}_i(x_1^{s_1(k)}, \dots, x_q^{s_q(k)}) & \text{with probability } p_i \\ x_i^{k-1} & \text{with probability } 1 - p_i \end{cases}$$

[Strikwerda, LAA, 2002] where he also had $s_i(k)$ as random variables Of course $\sum_{i=1}^{q} p_i = 1$ Strikwerda proved that $\mathbb{E}(||x_k - x^*||) \to 0$ for $\mathcal{T} = B$, $\rho(B) < 1$ and in fact $\mathbb{E}(||x_k - x^*||) = O(R^{-k})$ for some real number R(radius of analiticity of a matrix M(z) = I - z[I - P + s(z)PB], $P = diag(p_i)$, s(z) related to randomized $s_i(k)$) Note: This is analysis of "classical" asynchronous iterations, not a new randomized method

Randomized view of Asynchronous Iterations (2014)

[Avron, Druinsky, Gupta, *J ACM*, 2014, 2015] consider Ax = b, *A* SPD They do propose a new algorithm where probabilities are used. Essentially Asynchronous Randomized (point) Jacobi (\equiv Randomized Gauss-Seidel). Let A = D - B, D = diag(A), $H = D^{-1}A$, $c = D^{-1}b$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

for m = 1, 2, ... do choose index *i* with probability p_i $x_i^{m+1} = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij} x_j^m + c_i, \quad x_\ell^{m+1} = x_\ell^m$ for $\ell \neq i$ end for

Note: *m* here counts relaxations, not iterations.

Computational model here: 1. Bounded delays $k - s_i(k) \le d$. 2. Atomic write: only one component is updated for every time stamp.

Theorem. If $||H||_{\infty}$ small enough so that $||H||_{\infty} < n/2d$ (or given A, the delay d small enough), and if the probabilities are uniform, then

$$\mathbb{E}(\|x_m - x_*\|_A^2) \le \beta \alpha^{m/(d_0 + d)} \|x_0 - x_*\|_A^2$$

where β , α functions of $\lambda_{\max}(H)$, d, n, $\|H\|_{\infty}$, and $\kappa(H)$, and

$$d_0 = \lceil \frac{\log(1/2)}{\log(1 - \lambda_{\max}/n)} \rceil$$

Challenge

[Avron, Druinsky, Gupta, 2015] show provable linear convergence rate for A SPD, using A-norm, uniform distribution.

We want to do the same for blocks and for A nonsymmetric. What conditions on A? What norm to use?

Note: [Coleman, Jensen, Sosonkina, 2019, 2020] experiment with blocks and with non-uniform distributions (asynchronous). [Griebel, Oswald, 2012] show provable linear convergence rate in the expected value sense for A SPD, using A-norm, for Schwarz methods (randomized but not asynchronous).

Definition

Given a permutation and partition π into q sets of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$. We define the $n \times n_i$ matrix S_i with the columns of I corresponding to the set π_i . Let $S = [S_1, ..., S_q]$, it is a complete sketching. Let $A_{ij} = S_i^T A S_j$. Assume that A_{ii} is nonsingular, i = 1, ..., n. A is called (strictly) block (column) diagonally dominant (BDD) in the sense of Robert [1969] if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \| {\mathcal A}_{ii}^{-1} {\mathcal A}_{ij} \| < 1, \, \, {
m for } \, \, j = 1, \dots, q$$

That is, if $D = diag(A_{ii})$, $H = D^{-1}A$, A BDD, then

$$\max_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \|H_{ij}\| < 1$$

New Definitions

Let u > 0, $u \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$. A is called generalized (strictly) block (column) diagonally dominant (GBDD) if

$$\frac{1}{u_j} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \|A_{ii}^{-1} A_{ij}\| u_i < 1, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, q$$

That is, if $D = diag(A_{ii})$, $H = D^{-1}A$, A GBDD iff

$$\|H\|_{S,u} := \max_{j} \frac{1}{u_j} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \|H_{ij}\|u_i < 1$$

This matrix norm is induced from the (block weighted ℓ_1) vector norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{S,u} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} u_i \|S_i^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{v}\|$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬる

Provable linear convergence rate

Theorem. [Frommer, S., 2022] Fix a permutation and partition π into q sets, and corresponding matrix S. Let u > 0, $u \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$. Let A be generalized BDD w.r.t. π , u. Let A = D - B, D = blockdiag(A), $H = D^{-1}A$, $c = D^{-1}b$, $\hat{r}_{k} = c - Hx_{k}$. Assume that $\|H\|_{S,u} = \max_{j=1}^{q} \rho_{j} < 1$, where ρ_{j} denotes the weighted block column sum

$$\rho_j = \frac{1}{u_j} \sum_{i=1}^q u_i ||H_{ij}||, \ j = 1, \dots, q.$$

Let $\alpha = \min_j p_j(1 - \rho_j)$. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}(\|\hat{r}^k\|_{\mathcal{S},u}) \leq (1-\alpha)^{\lfloor k/d \rfloor} \|\hat{r}^0\|_{\mathcal{S},u}.$$

k here are block relaxations - time stamps. d the bound on the delay. Asynchronous iterations.

Conclusions

- Asynchronous iterations work. They can work very fast, especially with overlap.
- After 40 years, we have now provable linear convergence rate for large classes of matrices.

Papers and reports can be found at: math.temple.edu/szyld

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ