DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR LOCALLY OUT-TRANSITIVE TOUR-NAMENTS

JOINT WORK WITH PIERRE CHARBIT AND PIERRE ABOULKER

GUILLAUME AUBIAN

TALGO/IRIF

18 JUNE 2021

DICHROMATIC NUMBER

Definition

G = (V, E) k-colourable iff $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V_i$ and $G[V_i]$ are acyclic.



$$\overrightarrow{\chi}(G) = \min_{k} \{k | G k \text{-colourable}\} = 2$$

 $\overrightarrow{\chi}(G) = \max{\{\overrightarrow{\chi}(G) | G \in G\}}$

INDUCED SUBGRAPHS



 $\mathsf{Forb}\,(\mathcal{G}) = \{H | \forall G \in \mathcal{G}, G \not\subseteq_{\mathit{ind}} H\}$

- Tournaments : Forb $(\{\overline{K_2}\})$
- Transitive tournaments : Forb $({\overline{K_2}, C_3})$
- Hero : *H* such that $\overrightarrow{\chi}$ (Forb ({ $\overline{K_2}$, *H*})) bounded

Conjecture (Aboulker, Charbit, Naserasr, 2020)

H hero, *F* oriented forest $\overrightarrow{\chi}$ (Forb({*H*, *F*})) bounded if and only if:

- F is a disjoint union of stars or
- H is transitive

Conjecture (Aboulker, Charbit, Naserasr, 2020)

H hero, *F* oriented forest $\overrightarrow{\chi}$ (Forb ({*H*, *F*})) bounded if and only if:

■ *F* is a disjoint union of stars or

H is transitive

$$H \in \{K_1, \overrightarrow{K_2}\}, F \in \{K_1, \overrightarrow{K_2}\} \implies \text{Trivial}$$

THE CONJECTURE

Conjecture (Aboulker, Charbit, Naserasr, 2020)

H hero, *F* oriented forest $\vec{\chi}$ (Forb ({*H*, *F*})) bounded if and only if:

- F is a disjoint union of stars or
- H is transitive

Thus, easiest remaining case :



$COLOURING Forb(\{K_{1,2}, \overline{W_4}\})$

Theorem (Aboulker, Aubian, Charbit / Steiner, 2021)

$\overrightarrow{\chi}(\operatorname{Forb}(\{K_{1,2}, W_4\})) \leq 2$



■ Forb $({K_{1,2}}) \implies$ out-neighbourhood tournament ■ Forb $({W_4}) \implies$ out-neighbourhood C_3 -free Thus Forb $({W_4, K_{1,2}}) \implies$ out-neighbourhood transitive We call these *locally out-transitive*

- 1. Decomposition theorem for Forb $(\{W_4, K_{1,2}\})$
- **2.** Use this to prove $\overrightarrow{\chi}(Forb(\{K_{1,2}, W_4\})) \leq 2$
 - Prove Caccetta-Häggkvist for Forb ({K_{1,2}, W₄})

These results are thus implied for Forb ({ $K_{1,2}, C_3$ })

STRUCTURE OF LOCALLY OUT-TRANSITIVE

IN-ROUND ORIENTED GRAPHS

Definition

An oriented graph D = (V, A) is in-round if there exists a cyclic order of V such that :

$$\forall xy \in A, \forall z \in]x, y[, zy \in A$$



Theorem

Let D be a strong oriented graph such that for every vertex x, x^+ induces a tournament and x^- induces an acyclic digraph. Then D is in-round.

Theorem

Let D be a strong oriented graph such that for every vertex x, x^+ induces a tournament and x^- induces an acyclic digraph. Then D is in-round.

Proof :



















Theorem

Let D a strong locally out-transitive oriented graph. There exists a partition of its set of vertices into strong subdigraphs whose contraction yields a strong in-round oriented graph.



Theorem

Let D a strong locally out-transitive oriented graph. There exists a partition of its set of vertices into strong subdigraphs whose contraction yields a strong in-round oriented graph.

Proof :

Definition

hub : a strongly connected in-dominated subdigraph

DECOMPOSITION PROOF

















No vertex is mixed to a maximal hub

Claim

Contracting a maximal hub cannot create a $K_{1,2}$ nor a W_4 .

Thus contracting a maximal hub yields a locally out-transitive oriented graph.

Theorem

Let D a strong locally out-transitive oriented graph. There exists a partition of its set of vertices into strong subdigraphs whose contraction yields a strong in-round oriented graph.

- if there exists a non-trivial hub, contract it and recurse
- otherwise, inneighbourhoods are acyclic, thus *D* is in-round

COLOURING LOCALLY OUT-TRANSITIVE

COLOURING IN-ROUNDS

Theorem

In-round oriented graphs are 2-dicolourable.

COLOURING IN-ROUNDS

Theorem

In-round oriented graphs are 2-dicolourable.



COLOURING IN-ROUNDS

Theorem

In-round oriented graphs are 2-dicolourable.



Theorem

In-round oriented graphs are 2-dicolourable.

Theorem

For any in-round oriented graph G and vertex v, G admits a 2-dicolouring where $v^+ \cup \{v\}$ is monochromatic.

Theorem (Aboulker, Aubian, Charbit / Steiner, 2021)

For any locally out-transitive oriented graph *D*, *D* admits a 2-dicolouring.

Theorem

Theorem



Theorem



Theorem



Theorem



Theorem



CACCETTA-HÄGGKVIST

Conjecture, (Caccetta-Häggkvist)

Let $k \ge 2$ be an integer. Every digraph *D* on *n* vertices with no directed circuit of length at most *k* contains a vertex of out-degree less than n/k.

CACCETTA-HÄGGKVIST: PROOF

Theorem

Let D = (V, A) a strong locally in-tournament oriented graph without C_3 , there exists a partition of V into strong subdigraphs whose contraction yields a strong out-round oriented graph D', i.e. it admits a cyclic order such that :

 $\forall x, y, z \in V(D') \ (xy \in A \land z \in]x, y[) \ \Rightarrow \ xz \in A$



REFORMULATING OUR THEOREM

Lemma

Let k > 2, D a strong out-round oriented graph with no C_k and $w : V(D) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ and $W = \sum_{u \in V(D)} u$. There exists u such that $\sum_{v \in u^+} w(v) \le \frac{W - w(v)}{k}$



LEMMA PROOF : TWO DEFINITIONS



LEMMA PROOF : TWO DEFINITIONS



Thus $\forall x, \sum_{i=0}^{k} \phi(f^{(i)}(x)) \leq W - w(x)$

Thus $\forall x, \sum_{i=0}^{k} \phi(f^{(i)}(x)) \leq W - w(x)$



Thus $\forall x, \sum_{i=0}^{k} \phi(f^{(i)}(x)) \leq W - w(x)$







CONCLUDING THE PROOF OF OUR THEOREM

Lemma

Let k > 2, D a strong out-round oriented graph with no C_k and $w : V(D) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ and $W = \sum_{u \in V(D)} u$. There exists u such that $\sum_{v \in u^+} w(v) \le \frac{W - w(v)}{k}$



WHAT COMES NEXT ?

A (COMPLICATED) DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

Theorem

Let D a connected locally semicomplete digraph, then either :

- D is semicomplete with a universal vertex.
- There exists a partition of V(D) into k ≥ 2 subsets each inducing strong connected semicomplete digraphs such that the digraph obtained by contracting every member of the partition is a round oriented graph.
- there exists a partition of V(D) into four sets E, F, G and H such that :
 - ▶ F and H are non empty, and one of E and G is non empty.
 - ► D[E], D[F], D[G] and D[H] are semicomplete;
 - E strictly out-dominates F, F strictly out-dominates G, G out-dominates H and H out-dominates E.
 - $\forall x \in G, x^+ \cap E \neq \emptyset \text{ and } x^- \cap E \neq \emptyset$

25

24

WHAT THIS MEANS





