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- Problem: The output may be too large to compute efficiently


## Q knowledge compilation

Results 1-20 of 10,514

View (previous 20 | next 20) (20|50|100|250|500)
$\rightarrow$ Solution: Enumerate solutions one after the other
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## Boolean circuits

- Directed acyclic graph of gates

- Output gate:

- Variable gates:
- Internal gates:

- Valuation: function from variables to $\{0,1\}$ Example: $\nu=\{x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 1\} \ldots$ mapped to 1
- Assignment: set of variables mapped to 1 Example: $S_{\nu}=\{y\}$; more concise than $\nu$

Our task: Enumerate all satisfying assignments of an input circuit
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## Theorem

Given a d-DNNF circuit $C$ with a v-tree $T$, we can enumerate its satisfying assignments of size $\leq k$
with preprocessing linear in $|C|+|T|$ and constant delay

## Application 1: Factorized databases

| Orders (O for short) |  |  | Dish (D for short) |  | Items (1 for short) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| customer | day | dish | dish | item | item | price |
| Elise | Monday | burger | burger | patty | patty | 6 |
| Elise | Friday | burger | burger | onion | onion | 2 |
| Steve | Friday | hotdog | burger | bun | bun | 2 |
| Joe | Friday | hotdog | hotdog | bun | sausage | 4 |
|  |  |  | hotdog hotdog | onion sausage |  |  |

Consider the join of the above relations:

| O(customer, day, dish), D(dish, item), I(item, price) |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| customer | day | dish | item | price |
| Elise | Monday | burger | patty | 6 |
| Elise | Monday | burger | onion | 2 |
| Elise | Monday | burger | bun | 2 |
| Elise | Friday | burger | patty | 6 |
| Elise | Friday | burger | onion | 2 |
| Elise | Friday | burger | bun | 2 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## Application 1: Factorized databases

| O(customer, day, dish), D (dish, item), I (item, price) |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| customer | day | dish | item | price |
| Elise | Monday | burger | patty | 6 |
| Elise | Monday | burger | onion | 2 |
| Elise | Monday | burger | bun | 2 |
| Elise | Friday | burger | patty | 6 |
| Elise | Friday | burger | onion | 2 |
| Elise | Friday | burger | bun | 2 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

A relational algebra expression encoding the above query result is:

| $\langle$ Elise $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ Monday $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ burger $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ patty $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle 6\rangle$ | $\cup$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\langle$ Elise $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ Monday $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ burger $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ onion $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle 2\rangle$ | $\cup$ |
| $\langle$ Elise $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ Monday $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ burger $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ bun $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle 2\rangle$ | $\cup$ |
| $\langle$ Elise $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ Friday $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ burger $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ patty $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle 6\rangle$ | $\cup$ |
| $\langle$ Elise $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ Friday $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ burger $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ onion $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle 2\rangle$ | $\cup$ |
| $\langle$ Elise $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ Friday $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ burger $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle$ bun $\rangle$ | $\times$ | $\langle 2\rangle$ | $\cup \ldots$ |
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- Decomposable: by definition (following the schema)
- Deterministic: we do not obtain the same tuple multiple times

Theorem (Strenghtens result of [Olteanu and Závodnỳ, 2015])
Given a deterministic factorized representation, we can enumerate its tuples with linear preprocessing and constant delay

## Application 2: Query evaluation

## Query evaluation on trees

Database: a tree $T$ where nodes have a color from an alphabet $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$

Query $Q$ : a sentence in monadic second-order logic (MSO)

- $P_{\bigcirc}(x)$ means " $x$ is blue"
- $x \rightarrow y$ means " $x$ is the parent of $y$ "

"Is there both a pink and a blue node?"
$\exists x$ y $P_{\bigcirc}(x) \wedge P_{\bigcirc}(y)$

Result: TRUE/FALSE indicating if $T$ satisfies the query $Q$

Computational complexity as a function of the tree $T$ (the query $Q$ is fixed)
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## Application 2: Query evaluation

- Compute the results $(a, b, c)$ of a query $Q(x, y, z)$ on a tree $T$
$\rightarrow$ Generalizes to bounded-treewidth databases
- Query given as a deterministic tree automaton
$\rightarrow$ Captures monadic second-order (data-independent translation)
$\rightarrow$ Captures conjunctive queries, SQL, etc.
$\rightarrow$ We can construct a d-DNNF that describes the query results
Theorem (Recaptures [Bagan, 2006], [Kazana and Segoufin, 2013])
For any constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and fixed $M S O$ query $Q$, given a database $D$ of treewidth $\leq k$, the results of $Q$ on $D$ can be enumerated with linear preprocessing in $D$ and linear delay in each answer ( $\rightarrow$ constant delay for free first-order variables)
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Special zero-suppressed semantics for circuits:

- No NOT-gate
- Each gate captures a set of assignments
- Bottom-up definition with $\times$ and $\cup$
- d-DNNF: $\cup$ are disjoint, $\times$ are on disjoint sets

Many equivalent ways to understand this:

- Generalization of factorized representations
- Analogue of zero-suppressed OBDDs (implicit negation)
- Arithmetic circuits: $\times$ and + on polynomials

Simplification: rewrite circuits to arity-two (fan-in $\leq 2$ )
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## Enumerating assignments in the zero-suppressed semantics

Task: Enumerate the elements of the set $S(g)$ captured by a gate $g$
$\rightarrow$ E.g., for $S(g)=\{\{x, y\},\{x, z\}\}$, enumerate $\{x, y\}$ and then $\{x, z\}$
Base case: variable $x$ : enumerate $\{x\}$ and stop


Concatenation: enumerate $S(g)$ Lexicographic product: enumerate $S(g)$ and then enumerate $S\left(g^{\prime}\right)$

Determinism: no duplicates

and for each result $t$ enumerate $S\left(g^{\prime}\right)$ and concatenate $t$ with each result

Decomposability: no duplicates
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- Problem: if $S(g)$ contains $\}$ we waste time in chains of AND-gates
- Solution:
- split $g$ between $S(g) \cap\{\}\}$ and $S(g) \backslash\{\}\}$ (homogenization)
- remove inputs with $S(g)=\{\{ \}\}$ for AND-gates
- collapse AND-chains with fan-in 1
$\rightarrow$ Now, traversing an AND-gate ensures that we make progress: it splits the assignments non-trivially
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- Problem: we waste time in OR-hierarchies to find a reachable exit (non-OR gate)
- Solution: compute reachability index
- Problem: must be done in linear time


## Solution:

- Determinism ensures we have a multitree (we cannot have the pattern at the right)
- Custom constant-delay reachability index for multitrees
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