FORGE Benchmark

Numerical scheme

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆





Numerical Simulation by Homogenization of Multiphase Flow in Heterogenous Porous Media

E. Ahusborde¹, <u>B. Amaziane¹</u>, M. El Ossmani², M. Jurak³ ¹ IPRA-LMA, UMR CNRS 5142, University of Pau, France. ² University Moulay Ismail, ENSAM, Meknès, Morocco. ³ University of Zagreb, Department of Mathematics, Croatia.

> Conference in Honor of Abderrahmane Bendali December $12^{th} - 14^{th}$, 2017, Pau.

Outline



- 2 Mathematical Model
- **3** FORGE Benchmark
- 4 Numerical scheme
- **5** Numerical results

6 Conclusion

|▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = ■ - のへで



- Numerical modelling of gas migration and two-phase flow through engineered and geological barriers for a deep repository for radioactive waste.
- French Research Group **MoMaS** (PACEN/CNRS ANDRA, BRGM, CEA, EDF, IRSN): http://www.gdrmomas.org/
- Euratom FP7 Project **FORGE** (Fate Of Repository Gases): http://www.bgs.ac.uk/forge/home.html

Goal:

Couple $DuMu^{\chi}$ to solve the coupled and non-linear system describing miscible compressible two phase flow in heterogeneous porous media and an upscaling strategy.





http://dune-project.org/

э

[1] DuMu^X, DUNE for Multi-{Phase, Component, Scale, Physics, ...} flow and transport in porous media. DuMu^X web-page : http://www.dumux.org.

Introduction

References



B. Amaziane, M. El Ossmani, M. Jurak, Numerical simulation of gas migration through engineered and geological barriers for a deep repository for radioactive waste, Computat. and Visualiz. in Science 15:1, (2012), 3–20.

E. Ahusborde, B. Amaziane, M. Jurak, Three-dimensional numerical simulation by upscaling of gas migration through engineered and geological barriers for a deep repository for radioactive waste, Geological Society Special Publication, 415:1 (2015) 123–141.



B. Amaziane, S. Antontsev, L. Pankratov, A. Piatnitski, Homogenization of immiscible compressible two-phase flow in porous media : application to gas migration in a nuclear waste repository, SIAM J. Multiscale Model. Simul., 8:5 (2010) 2023-2047.



B. Amaziane, L. Pankratov, A. Piatnitski, An improved homogenization result for immiscible compressible two-phase flow in porous media, Networks and Heterogeneous Media (NHM), **12**:1 (2017), 147–171.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Two-phase miscible compressible flow equations

Notation: The index $\alpha \in \{l, g\}$ refers to the phase (liquid and gas), while the superscript $c \in \{H_2O, H_2\}$ refers to the component.

• Generalized Darcy-Muskat's law

$$\boldsymbol{U}_{\alpha} = -\frac{k_{r\alpha}(S_{\alpha})}{\mu_{\alpha}}K\left(\nabla P_{\alpha} - \rho_{\alpha}\mathbf{g}\right).$$

• Mass conservation law for each component

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Phi \sum_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}^{c} S_{\alpha}) + \sum_{\alpha} \nabla \cdot (\rho_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}^{c} U_{\alpha} + \mathbf{J}_{\alpha}^{c}) - \sum_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}^{c} = 0.$$
(1)

• Diffusive fluxes

$$\mathbf{J}_{\alpha}^{c} = -\Phi \rho_{\alpha} S_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\tau^{2}} D_{\alpha}^{c} \nabla X_{\alpha}^{c}.$$
 (2)

Two-phase miscible compressible flow equations

• Capillary pressure law : Van Genuchten-Mualem model

$$P_g - P_l = P_c(S_l), \tag{3}$$

with

$$S_{le} = \frac{S_l - S_{lr}}{1 - S_{lr} - S_{gr}},$$
(4)

$$P_c(S_l) = P_r(S_{le}^{-1/m} - 1)^{1/n},$$
(5)

$$k_{rl}(S_l) = \sqrt{S_{le}} [1 - (1 - S_{le}^{1/m})^m]^2,$$
(6)

$$k_{rg}(S_l) = \sqrt{1 - S_{le}} (1 - S_{le}^{1/m})^{2m}.$$
(7)

• Henry law

$$C_l^{H_2} = H_{H_2}(T)P_g^{H_2}, \quad C_l^{H_2} = \frac{X_l^{H_2}\rho_l}{M_{H_2}}.$$
(8)

イロト 不得 とうほう イヨン

э.

Conclus

Description of the benchmark



Figure: Schematic representation of a repository for HLW.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Description of the benchmark



Figure: Schematic representation of the module to be simulated. Definition of the A-A', B-B' cross sections.

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

Description of the benchmark



Figure: Schematic representation of the A-A' vertical cross section.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Description of the benchmark



Figure: Schematic representation of the module to be simulated. Definition of the A-A', B-B' cross sections.

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

Description of the benchmark



Figure: Schematic representation of the B-B' vertical cross section.

Physical parameters

	Materials							
Parameter	Interface facing		Interface facing		1	Interface facing		
		plug		waste		ł	backfill and edz	
K [<i>m</i> ²]	$5 \cdot 10^{-18}$			10^{-12}		10^{-15}		
Porosity [%]	30			100			40	
Van Genuchten parameters								
n [-]	4			4		4		
Pr [Pa]	10^{4}			104		10^{4}		
Tortuosity	1			1			1	
	Materials							
Parameter		Backfills	ł	Bentonite	EDZ		COX	
K [<i>m</i> ²]		$5 \cdot 10^{-17}$		10^{-20}	$5 \cdot 10^{-18}$		10^{-20}	
Porosity [%]		40		35	15		15	
Van Genuchten parameters								
n [-]		1.5		1.6	1.5		1.5	
Pr [Pa]		$2 \cdot 10^{6}$		$1.6 \cdot 10^{7}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{\circ}$	6	$1.5 \cdot 10^{7}$	
Tortuosity		2		4.5	2		2	

Table: Physical parameters.

Initial conditions and source term

At the initial moment the pressures are discontinuous.

Variables	Materials							
	Interfaces	Backfills	Bentonite	EDZ	Geological			
			plugs		Medium			
S_l	$S_l = 0.05$	$S_l = 0.7$	$S_l = 0.7$	$S_{l} = 1$	$S_l = 1$			
P_g, P_l	$P_g = 0.1M$	$APa, P_l = P$	$P_l = I$	$P_g = 5MPa$				

The source term:

- Implemented as Neumann boundary condition.
- *Q* = 100 mol/year for *t* < 10000 years; *Q* = 0 for *t* > 10000 years.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Finite volume scheme & Upscaling

- Home-made code in C++ for the upscaling.
- Simulator : **DuMu**^X, DUNE for Multi-{Phase, Component, Scale, Physics, ...} flow and transport in porous media (http://www.dumux.org).
 - Model used : **2p2c** module which implements two-phase flow with two components.
 - Coupled fully-implicit approach.
 - Spatial discretization: vertex-centred finite volume approach.
 - Time discretization: implicit Euler scheme.
 - Mesh generator used: Gmsh + conversion into Dune Grid Format (DGF).

Scale up of the benchmark

Complete benchmark model can be represented only on a very fine grid. By means of upscaling we need to represent all model data on a coarser simulation grid. We upscale:

- Porosity Φ(**x**) and absolute permeability *K*(**x**);
- Capillary pressure P_c(**x**,S) and relative permeability curves kr_α(**x**,S);
- Tortuosity coefficient $\tau(\mathbf{x})$.

The upscaling is based on a local capillary equilibrium hypothesis. Heterogeneous quantities are replaced by homogeneous, effective ones.



ъ

Upscaling of permeability and porosity

Let the upscaling REV be denoted by V.

We have to solve in V the following steady-state Darcy's flow problem for i = 1, 2, 3:

$$-\nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})\nabla P_i) = 0 \quad \text{in } V,$$
$$P_i = x_i \quad \text{on } \partial V$$

where x_i is the *i*-th coordinate. Then we calculate the mean flux

$$\langle K \nabla P_i \rangle = \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(V)} \int_V K(\mathbf{x}) \nabla P_i(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

and the effective absolute permeability K^h is given by $\mathbb{K}^h \mathbf{e}_i = \langle K \nabla P_i \rangle$. The effective porosity Φ^h is computed such that pore volume is exactly conserved between the fine and coarses scales:

$$\Phi^h = \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(V)} \int_V \Phi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$



Amaziane, B., Koebbe, J. (2006). JHomogenizer: A computational tool for upscaling permeability for flow in heterogeneous porous media. Computational Geosciences, 10(4), 343-359.



Bourgeat, A., Jurak, M. (2010). A two level scaling-up method for multiphase flow in porous media; numerical validation and comparison with other methods. Computational Geosciences, $14(1)_{12}1_{14}$ and 14_{12} and 14_{1

Effective capillary pressure

• For given capillary pressure level *u*, we calculate the local saturation distribution $S^0(\mathbf{x})$ given by the local capillary equilibrium:

$$u = P_c^1(S_1) = \cdots = P_c^n(S_n), \quad S^h = \int_V \Phi(\mathbf{x}) S^0(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} / \Phi^h.$$

• Effective capillary pressure is then $P_c^h(S^h) = u$.



- For given effective *S*^{*h*}, we calculate *P*^{*h*}_{*c*}(*S*^{*h*}) and corresponding local saturation distribution *S*⁰(**x**).
- The effective relative permeability function $kr_{\alpha}^{h}(S^{h})_{i}$, in the space direction *i*, is defined by the formula:

$$kr^{h}_{\alpha}(S^{h})_{i} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(V)} \int_{V} K(\mathbf{x}) kr_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, S^{0}(\mathbf{x})) \nabla P_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i} d\mathbf{x} / \mathbb{K}_{i,i},$$

where P_i satisfies

$$-\nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})kr_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, S(\mathbf{x}))\nabla P_i) = 0 \quad \text{in } V,$$
$$P_i = x_i \quad \text{on } \partial V.$$

- For given effective S^h calculate $P_c^h(S^h)$ and corresponding local saturation distribution $S^0(\mathbf{x})$.
- The effective tortuosity function $\tau^h_{\alpha}(S^h)_i$, in the space direction *i*, is defined for the effective saturation S^h and the phase α by:

$$\frac{\Phi^h S^h_{\alpha}}{\tau^h_{\alpha}(S^h)^2_i} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(V)} \int_V \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \frac{S_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})}{\tau(\mathbf{x})^2} \nabla \xi^{\alpha}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i d\mathbf{x},$$

where ξ_i satisfies

$$-\nabla \cdot (\Phi(\mathbf{x}) \frac{S_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})}{\tau(\mathbf{x})^2} \nabla \xi_i^{\alpha}) = 0 \quad \text{in } V,$$

$$\xi_i^{\alpha} = x_i \quad \text{on } \partial V$$

Upscaling for the benchmark

• U1. Elimination of the Interfaces:

- EDZ+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block
- Backfill+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block
- MainDriftPlug+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block

Numerical scheme

imerical results

Conclusion

Upscaling for the benchmark



Figure: U1 grid: 510112 elements.

Upscaling for the benchmark

- U1. Elimination of the Interfaces:
 - EDZ+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - Backfill+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - MainDriftPlug+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block
- U2. Intermediate Upscaling:
 - Canister+Interface+EDZ \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - Plug+Interface+EDZ \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - Backfill+Interface+EDZ \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - MainDriftPlug+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block

cheme Nume

Conclus

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Upscaling for the benchmark



Figure: U2 grid: 247 620 elements.

Upscaling for the benchmark

- U1. Elimination of the Interfaces:
 - EDZ+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - Backfill+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - MainDriftPlug+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block
- U2. Intermediate Upscaling:
 - Canister+Interface+EDZ \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - Plug+Interface+EDZ \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - Backfill+Interface+EDZ \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - MainDriftPlug+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block
- U3. Full Upscaling:
 - Canister+Plug+Interface+EDZ+GM \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - Backfill+Interface+EDZ \rightarrow homogeneous block
 - MainDriftPlug+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block

Numerical scheme

cal scheme

rical results

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Conclusion

Upscaling for the benchmark



Figure: U3 grid: 27776 elements.

al scheme Nu

results Cor

Conclusion

Upscaling near the drifts

- U1. Elimination of Interfaces: Backfill+Interface → homogeneous block
- U2. Intermediate upscaling: Backfill+Interface+EDZ → homogeneous block
- U3. Full upscaling: The same.



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

neme Numerical results

Conclusio

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

Upscaling near the canisters



- U1. EDZ+Interface \rightarrow homogeneous block
- U2. Canister+Interface+EDZ \rightarrow homogeneous block
- U3. Canister+Plug+Interface+EDZ+GM \rightarrow homogeneous block

eme Numerical results

Conclu

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Output results



Figure: Definition of the points where results have been extracted, of the line L-MD and of the surfaces F-C25 and F-Pd.

Results for model U2 : Fluxes



Figure: Dissolved hydrogen fluxes (Left) and Gaseous hydrogen fluxes (Right).

- Gaseous hydrogen total fluxes are three orders of magnitude larger than the dissolved hydrogen total fluxes.
- In gaseous phase, the advective fluxes dominate diffusive fluxes by several order of magnitudes, while in the liquid phase they are comparable.

Numerical scheme

scheme Nu

Numerical results

イロト 不得 とうほう イヨン

3

Conclusion

Results for model U2 : Data in points



Figure: Gas pressure in points.

• The pressure maximum is about 7MPa.

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

Conclusion

Results for model U2 : Data on line L-MD



Figure: Gas pressure (left) and gas saturation (right) in the Main drift.

- Pressurisation of the Main Drift, which does not exceed 7 MPa, and return to the equilibrium which is not completely done even after 100,000 years.
- The Main Drift plugs are almost fully resaturated after 1,000 years.

il scheme N

Numerical results

Conclusion

Comparison between U2 and U3 models



	CPU time
Model U3	4 hours (8 proc)
Model U2	1 month (24 proc)

Table: CPU time for models U3 and U2.

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

3

Figure: Solution in the point P-C25-3 for models U3 and U2.

Numerical results

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

Conclusion

Gas pressure and saturation



Figure: Gas pressure and saturation at t=1000y (top) and t=10000y (bottom) for the U2 model.

Comparison with other participants



Figure: Comparison of the gas pressure for the points P-C50-3 (left) and P-Pd-1 (right).

Conclusion and acknowledgements

- The maximum pressure in the module will be about 7 MPa.
- The advection is the main way of hydrogen transport.
- Transport of hydrogen dissolved in water is about three orders of magnitude less significant than the transport of gaseous hydrogen.
- This work was partially supported by the Euratom FP7 Project FORGE under grant agreement 230357 and the GnR MoMaS (PACEN/CNRS, ANDRA, BRGM,CEA, EDF, IRSN) France, their supports are gratefully acknowledged.





▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで