

CEMMTAUR:

CT synthEsis from Multicentric and Multisquence MRI daTA with qUality assessment for image-guided Radiotherapy



Laboratoire Traitement du Signal et de l'Image, INSERM U1099, Université de Rennes, NUNES Jean-Claude (MCF, HDR)



Laboratoire des Sciences du Numérique de Nantes, UMR CNRS 6004, Ecole Centrale de Nantes, MATEUS Diana (PU)

Scientific context

Cancer leading cause of death worldwide (10 million deaths in 2020), radiotherapy is one of the cancer treatment.





* OAR: Organs At Risk

CT-Scan: reference imaging for dose planning in radiotherapy (RT)

- ➢ poor contrast in soft tissues and ionizing imaging
- imprecise delineation of the tumor and the organs at risk (OARs)
- Imiting the quality of the daily patient treatment positioning
- MRI: better soft tissue contrast compared to CT
 - but MRI do not provide electronic density information necessary for dose calculation

State of art MR-to-CT synthesis: deep learning methods (DLM) [Boulanger21]



Limitation of DLM-based MR-to-CT synthesis: > variety of image acquisition systems (manufacturers, calibration, acquisition parameters, magnetic field, etc.)

Example of the specific to CT/MRI device [Boulanger21]



<u>Goals :</u>

Generation of synthetic CT (sCT) from MRI, based on DLM
Development of a generic approach, a non-specific center/device, taking into account the variety of image acquisition systems

➤ accurate dose calculation from MRI (with sCT)

Develop supervised and unsupervised learning

Workflow of the study (Work Packages):

Segmentation and uncertainty WP2

Automatic segmentation (LS2N, Nantes)

Anatomical regions: prostate + OARs (rectum, bladder) and brain + OARs (medulla, brainstem, pituitary gland, lens, eyes, retina, chiasm, optic nerve).

> Joint segmentation across different MR sequences (T1 and T2, LavaFLEX): exploit all data available.

➤ Stage (Amel Bakouche)

- Segmentation with a U-NET under several mono / multimodal scenarios for 6 OARs
- First encouraging results on each modality (CT, MR)
- Simple generalization method by training simultaneously the model on CT and MR images

Same label for symmetric organs gives better results
Scores still dependent on the size of the organ



Example of Ground truth (left) and prediction (right) for the Automatic segmentation of Brain OARS

Challenges yet to process:

- Structures not visible on CT for dose computation: build on unsupervised and weakly supervised domain adaptation [AlChanti21a] to transfer segmentation of soft tissues from MR to CT.
- Associate an uncertainty measurement to the predictions [Jimenez22].

Multimodal image registration WP3

Image registration (LS2N and LTSI):

To match patient anatomy between CT and MRI

Method: a robust symmetric rigid registration [Rivest-Henault15] (metric, normalized cross-correlation; geometric transform, rigid), followed by structure-guided deformable registration to promote bone rigidity while allowing highquality bladder and rectum deformable registration (metric, normalized mutual information with 64 bins; geometric transform, B-spline free-form deformation).

CT/MRI registration results (mosaic images)



MR-to-CT generation (LTSI)

• MR-to-CT generation by 3D conditional GAN supervised & unsupervised context



Dose calculation

Dose Calculation (LTSI):

- Use of treatment planning system (TPS) Raystation (Raysearch) at Centre Eugène Marquis
- Dose planning on CT and transfer of beam characteristics on sCT



Evaluation

Standardizing the sCT evaluation (LTSI)

- Imaging endpoints based voxel-wise comparison (sCT vs CT): Mean Absolute Deviation (MAE), Mean error (ME), PSNR,
- Voxel-wise statistical test (Chen permutation test) will be applied on images and on dose distributions [Chourak21].



MAE results on external body: monocentric and multicentric supervised training comparison across centers. The boxplots illustrate the mean absolute error (MAE) results for when the learning was performed on a cohort: C1 (blue), C2 (yellow), and C3 (red) and the three centers (green) and the test was performed on C1 (row 1), C2 (row 2) or C3 (row 3).

 Dosimetric endpoints: voxel-to-voxel dose difference (between dose calculated on CT (reference and on sCT), dose-Volume Histogram (DVH) differences, Gamma-index analyses (comparison of dose distributions)

Publications in this project

[Tahri23] S. Tahri, B. Texier, C. Hémon, H. Chourak, A. Barateau, C. Lafond, ... and J.C. Nunes, SFRO 2023.

[Texier23a] B. Texier, C. Hémon, P. Lekieffre, E. Collot, S. Tahri, A. Barateau, C. Lafond, ... and J.C. Nunes, Multi-center CT synthesis by 3D cycle-GAN for prostate MRI-only radiatherapy JSB 2023

uation (LTSI)

WP6

WP5

Workflow of the study presenting the different



Brain region

Pelvis region

Multicentric MRI-CT synthesis WP4

Data collection

Image acquisition :

Prostate and brain cancer: 3D CT, 3D MRI (T2, LavaFLEX) from Centre Eugène Marquis (CEM), Centre Régional de Lutte Contre le Concer (CLCC) de Bennes





WP1

Preprocessing step (LTSI) To standardize the database, several preprocessing steps were performed :

- thresholding technique,
- N4 bias field correction [Tustison10];
- histogram equalization,
- filtering by gradient anisotropic diffusion [Perona90]
- Cropping at 12cm under and above the barycenter of the prostate.

[Texier23b] B. Texier, C. Hémon, E. Collot, P. Lekieffre, S. Tahri, H. Chourak, A. Barateau, C. Lafond, R. de Crevoisier, J. Castelli and J.C. Nunes, Evaluation of prostate synthetic CTs from MRI using 2D cycle-GAN with multicentric learning, ESTRO 2023. [Lekieffre] P. Lekieffre, E. Collot, B. Texier, C. Hémon, S. Tahri, H. Chourak, I. Bessieres, P. Greer, J. Dowling, A. Barateau, C. Lafond, R. de Crevoisier, J.C. Nunes, 3D patch cycle-GAN-based MR-to-CT synthesis from monocenter and multicenter training, ESTRO 2023. [Collot] E. Collot, P. Lekieffre, B. Texier, C. Hémon, S. Tahri, H. Chourak, A. Barateau, C. Lafond, R. de Crevoisier, J.C. Nunes, Anarteau, C. Lafond, R. de Crevoisier, J.C. Nunes, Stahri, H. Chourak, A. Barateau, C. Lafond, R. de Crevoisier, J.C. Nunes, Stahri, H. Chourak, A. Barateau, C. Lafond, R. de Crevoisier, J.C. Nunes, Evaluation of synthetic CTs by 3D Cycle-GAN from prostate MR images, ESTRO 2023.

References

[AlChanti21a] D. Al Chanti and D. Mateus. Optimal Latent Vector Alignment for Unsupervised Domain Adaptation. MICCAI 2021

[Boulanger21] Boulanger, M., J-C Nunes, et al. (2021). Deep learning methods to generate synthetic CT from MRI in radiotherapy: A literature review. Physica Medica, 89, 265-281.

[Chourak21] H. Chourak, J-C Nunes, et al., Voxel-wise analysis for spatial characterization of pseudo-CT errors in MRI-only radiotherapy planning, IEEE ISBI, 2021.

[Jimenez22] A. Jimenez et. al. Curriculum learning for improved femur fracture classification: Scheduling data with prior knowledge and uncertainty. MedIA 2022 [Perona90] P. Perona, J. Malik, Scale-space and edge detection using anisotropic diffusion, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 12 (7) (1990) 629–639. [Rivest-Henault15] Rivest-Henault D, Dowson N, Greer PB, Fripp J, Dowling JA. Robust inverse consistent affine CT–MR registration in MRI-assisted and MRI-alone prostate radiation therapy. Med Image Anal.

[Tustison10] Tustison NJ, Avants BB, Cook PA, Yuanjie Zheng, Egan A, Yushkevich PA, et al. N4ITK: improved N3 bias correction. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 2010; 29(6):1310–20.

