Generalized Robin-Neumann explicit coupling schemes for fluid-structure interaction

Miguel Á. FERNÁNDEZ, Jimmy MULLAERT, Marina VIDRASCU

REO project-team, Inria Paris-Rocquencourt & UPMC Univ Paris VI, LJLL, France





Abstract

We deal with the coupled problem describing the interaction between a viscous incompressible fuid and a viscoelastic structure. For this kind of problem, the main strategies that are usually referred to in the literature are:

- Standard explicit coupling schemes based on Dirichlet-Neumann interface conditions, which are known to be unconditionally unstable whenever the amount of added-mass effect is large.
- Implicit or semi-implicit coupling schemes, which require a higher computational effort.
- Explicit schemes based on Robin-Robin interface coupling derived from Nitsche's method, whose accuracy demands restrictive CFL conditions or corrective iterations.

Here we present a family of **Robin-Neumann explicit coupling schemes**, involving a Robin interface condition for the fluid, which is intrinsically consistent when dealing with thin-walled structures [1]. The implicit treatment of the sole solid inertia ensures added-mass free stability and the explicit treatment of the solid viscoelastic contributions enables full fluid-solid splitting. We show that the resulting scheme with first-order extrapolation provides unconditional stability and optimal first-order accuracy.

- A full fluid-solid splitting obtain through appropriate extrapolations of the solid velocity and stress on the interface and mass-lumping approximation in the structure inertia. The implicit treatment of the solid inertia ensures added-mass free stability.
- A standard solid Neumann step.

Stability and convergence analysis

Theorem 1. Assume that $\mathbf{f}^{\Gamma} = \mathbf{0}$ (free system) and let $\{(\mathbf{u}_h^n, p_h^n, \mathbf{d}_h^n, \dot{\mathbf{d}}_h^n)\}_{n>r}$ be the sequence given by Algorithm 1. Suppose, if r = 2, that the following condition hold:

$$\tau \left(\alpha + \beta \left(\frac{\omega_{\rm e}}{h} \right)^2 \right) < \delta,$$

In the case of the coupling with a thick-walled structure [2], we show that a consistent generalized-Robin interface condition can be recovered at the space semi-discrete level through a mass-lumping approximation in the solid. The methods preserve the stability properties of the thin-walled case. As regards accuracy, the splitting introduces an error perturbation whose leading term scales as $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{2^{r-1}}/\sqrt{h})$, where r stands for the extrapolation order. The $h^{-1/2}$ loss is related to the non-uniformity of the discrete solid viscoelastic operator and not to the mass-lumping approximation.

Work funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the EXIFSI project (ANR-12-JS01-0004).

The linear model problem



 $\int \tau^5 \left(\frac{\omega_{\rm e}}{h}\right)^6 + \tau^2 \left(\frac{\omega_{\rm e}}{h}\right)^2 \left(\alpha + \beta \left(\frac{\omega_{\rm e}}{h}\right)^2\right) < \gamma,$

where $\omega_{\rm e} \stackrel{\rm def}{=} C_{\rm inv} \sqrt{\beta_{\rm e}/\rho^{\rm s}}, \ 0 \leq \delta \leq 1 \ and \ \tau \gamma < 1.$ Then the schemes are energy stable.

Theorem 2. Let $(\boldsymbol{u}, p, \boldsymbol{d}, \dot{\boldsymbol{d}})$ be the solution of the coupled problem (4) and $\{(\boldsymbol{u}_h^n, p_h^n, \boldsymbol{d}_h^n, \dot{\boldsymbol{d}}_h^n)\}_{n>r}$ be the discrete solution given by Algorithm 1 with appropriate initial data. We assume that the exact solution has enough regularity. Then, we have the following error estimates, for n > r such as $n\tau < T$:

 $\mathcal{E}_{h}^{n} \lesssim c_{1}h + c_{2}\tau + c_{3} \begin{cases} \tau^{2^{r-1}} & \text{coupling with thin-walled structures,} \\ \tau^{2^{r-1}}/\sqrt{h} & \text{coupling with thick-walled structures.} \end{cases}$

Numerical results



The left hand side figure reports the convergence history for a thick-walled solid and for $\tau = \mathcal{O}(h)$ whereas the right hand side figure uses the scaling $au = \mathcal{O}(h^2)$ with an undamped solid (i.e. lpha = eta = 0).

Numerical investigations also include the non-linear case (e.g., Navier-Stokes, non-linear elastodynamics).

Variational formulation

We introduce some functional spaces, linear and bilinear forms:

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathrm{f}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} [H^{1}(\Omega^{\mathrm{f}})]^{d}, & a(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} 2\mu \big(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}})\big)_{\Omega^{\mathrm{f}}}, \\ Q \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} L^{2}(\Omega^{\mathrm{f}}), & b(p, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} -(p, \mathsf{div}\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}})_{\Omega^{\mathrm{f}}}, \ l(\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} (\boldsymbol{f}^{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}})_{\Gamma}, \\ \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathrm{s}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \big\{ \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}} \in [H^{1}(\Omega^{\mathrm{s}})]^{d} / \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}}|_{\Gamma^{\mathrm{d}}} = \boldsymbol{0} \big\}, & a^{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{d}), \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}})\big)_{\Omega^{\mathrm{s}}}, \\ \boldsymbol{W} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \big\{ (\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}}) \in \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathrm{f}} \times \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathrm{s}} / \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}}|_{\Sigma} = \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}}|_{\Sigma} \big\}, & a^{\mathrm{v}}_{h}(\boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \beta \big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{d}), \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}})\big)_{\Omega^{\mathrm{s}}} + \alpha \rho^{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}})_{\Omega^{\mathrm{s}},h}. \end{split}$$

The coupled problem (1)-(3) admits the following variational formulation, including a mass-lumping approximation in the structure inertia: for t > 0, find $(\boldsymbol{u}(t), \boldsymbol{\dot{d}}(t)) \in \boldsymbol{W}$, $p(t) \in Q$ and $\boldsymbol{d}(t) \in \boldsymbol{V}^{s}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\dot{d}} = \partial_t \boldsymbol{d}$ and, for all $(oldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}},oldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}})\inoldsymbol{W}$ and $q\in Q$,

$$\rho^{\mathrm{f}} \left(\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}}\right)_{\Omega^{\mathrm{f}}} + a(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}}) + b(p, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}}) - b(q, \boldsymbol{u}) + \rho^{\mathrm{s}} \left(\partial_t \dot{\boldsymbol{d}}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{\Omega^{\mathrm{s}}, h} + a^{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}}) + a^{\mathrm{v}}(\dot{\boldsymbol{d}}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{s}}) = l(\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{f}}) \quad (4)$$

Generalized Robin-Neumann schemes

Piecewise affine finite element spaces are denoted by $m{V}_h^{
m f}\subsetm{V}_h^{
m f}$, $Q_h\subset Q$, $m{V}_h^{
m s}\subsetm{V}^{
m s}$, where the subscript h > 0 indicates the level of spatial refinement. We will consider the standard solid- and fluid-sided discrete lifting operators, \mathcal{L}_h^{s} and \mathcal{L}_h^{f} and the interface operator defined by $\boldsymbol{B}_h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathcal{L}_h^{s})^* \mathcal{L}_h^{s}$, where $(\mathcal{L}_h^{s})^*$ stands for the adjoint operator of \mathcal{L}_h^s with respect to the lumped-mass inner product in V_h^s , denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\Omega^s, h}$. In the specific case of the coupling with a thin solid (i.e. $\Omega^{s} = \Sigma$), we simply have $\mathbf{B}_{h} = \mathbf{I}\mathbf{d}_{\Sigma}$. The notation x^* denotes the r-th order extrapolation of x, namely $x^* = 0$ if r = 0, $x^* = x^{n-1}$ if r = 1 and $x^{\star} = 2x^{n-1} - x^{n-2}$ if r = 2.

Algorithm 1: Explicit Generalized-Robin-Neumann Scheme



In this simulation of a flow around an elastic structure (using 3D Navier-Stokes equation in ALE formulation for the fluid), the solution given by our scheme with r = 1 remains very close to the one given by the implicit scheme ($\tau = 10^{-3}$).



In this 3D-"baloon-type" example, where a parabolic velocity is enforced at both boundaries, we have reported the interface mid-point displacement magnitude of the bottom structure ($\tau = 0.025$).





For n > r,

1. Fluid step (generalized Robin): find $(\boldsymbol{u}_h^n, p_h^n) \in \boldsymbol{V}_h^{\mathrm{f}} \times Q_h$ such that, for all $(\boldsymbol{v}_h^{\mathrm{f}}, q_h) \in \boldsymbol{V}_h^{\mathrm{f}} \times Q_h$,

$$\begin{cases} \rho^{\mathrm{f}} \big(\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{u}_{h}^{n}, \boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}} \big)_{\Omega^{\mathrm{f}}} + a(\boldsymbol{u}_{h}^{n}, \boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}}) + b(p_{h}^{n}, \boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}}) - b(q_{h}, \boldsymbol{u}_{h}^{n}) + s_{h}(p_{h}^{n}, q_{h}) + \frac{\rho^{\mathrm{s}}}{\tau} \big(\boldsymbol{B}_{h} \boldsymbol{u}_{h}^{n}, \boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}} \big)_{\Sigma} \\ &= \frac{\rho^{\mathrm{s}}}{\tau} \big(\boldsymbol{B}_{h} (\dot{\boldsymbol{d}}_{h}^{n-1} + \tau \partial_{\tau} \dot{\boldsymbol{d}}_{h}^{\star}), \boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}} \big)_{\Sigma} + \rho^{\mathrm{f}} \big(\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{u}_{h}^{\star}, \mathcal{L}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}} \boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}} \big)_{\Omega^{\mathrm{f}}} + a(\boldsymbol{u}_{h}^{\star}, \mathcal{L}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}} \boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}}) + b(p_{h}^{\star}, \mathcal{L}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}} \boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}}) + l(\boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}}) \big)_{\Sigma} \end{cases}$$

2. Solid step (Neumann): find $(\dot{d}_h^n, d_h^n) \in V_h^s \times V_h^s$ such that $\dot{d}_h^n = \partial_{\tau} d_h^n$ and, for all $v_h^s \in V_h^s$, $\rho^{\mathrm{s}}(\partial_{\tau}\dot{\boldsymbol{d}}_{h}^{n},\boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{s}})_{\Omega^{\mathrm{s}},h} + a^{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{d}_{h}^{n},\boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{s}}) + a^{\mathrm{v}}_{h}(\dot{\boldsymbol{d}}_{h}^{n},\boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{s}}) = -\rho^{\mathrm{f}}(\partial_{\tau}\boldsymbol{u}_{h}^{n},\mathcal{L}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}}\boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{s}})_{\Omega^{\mathrm{f}}} - a(\boldsymbol{u}_{h}^{n},\mathcal{L}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}}\boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{s}}) - b(p_{h}^{n},\mathcal{L}_{h}^{\mathrm{f}}\boldsymbol{v}_{h}^{\mathrm{s}})$

The main features of these schemes are:

• The so-called **Robin consistency** of the generalized-Robin interface condition for the fluid, since it can formally be interpreted as the discrete counterpart of $\sigma^{f}(\boldsymbol{u},p)\boldsymbol{n}^{f} + \rho^{s}\boldsymbol{B}_{h}\partial_{t}\boldsymbol{u} = \rho^{s}\boldsymbol{B}_{h}\partial_{t}\dot{\boldsymbol{d}} - \sigma^{s}(\boldsymbol{d},\dot{\boldsymbol{d}})\boldsymbol{n}^{s}$.

This is the well-known example of the flow within a straight damped elastic tube ($\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 10^{-3}$), showing the propagation of a pressure-wave. Left: snapshot, center: $\tau = 10^{-4}$, right: damped solid $\tau = 4.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$. The scheme retrieves the overall dynamics of the solution provided by implicit method.

References

[1] M. Fernández, J. Mullaert, M. Vidrascu, Explicit Robin-Neumann schemes for the coupling of incompressible fluids with thin-walled structures, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 267 (2013) 566-593.

[2] M. Fernández, J. Mullaert, M. Vidrascu, Generalized Robin-Neumann explicit coupling schemes for incompressible fluid-structure interaction: stability analysis and numerics, Research Report RR-8384, Inria, http://hal.inria.fr/hal-00875819 (2013).