Multi-parameter persistent homology: applications and algorithms

Nina Otter

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford

Gudhi/Top Data Workshop

Porquerolles, 18 October 2016

Multi-parameter persistent homology pipeline



Step (1): from data to multi-filtered spaces

Define the following partial order on \mathbb{N}^r : $(u_1, \ldots, u_r) \leq (v_1, \ldots, v_r)$ iff $u_i \leq v_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

A multi-filtered space K is a set of spaces $\{K_u\}_{u\in\mathbb{N}^r}$ such that $K_u\subseteq K_v$ if $u\leq v$ for all $u,v\in\mathbb{N}^r$.

Step (1): from data to multi-filtered spaces

Define the following partial order on \mathbb{N}^r : $(u_1, \ldots, u_r) \leq (v_1, \ldots, v_r)$ iff $u_i \leq v_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. A multi-filtered space K is a set of spaces $\{K_u\}_{u \in \mathbb{N}^r}$ such that $K_u \subset K_v$ if $u \leq v$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{N}^r$.

Map $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^r \longrightarrow r$ -filtered simplicial complex

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{digital image with} & \longrightarrow & r\text{-filtered cubical} \\ \text{color vectors of} & & \text{complex} \\ \text{length } r \end{array}$

Step (1): from data to multi-filtered spaces: example



Step (2): from multi-filtered spaces to multi-parameter persistence modules

r-filtered space

 $\xrightarrow{H_i}$

r-parameter persistence module

An *r*-parameter persistence module is a tuple $(\{M_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^r}, \{\phi_{i,j}\}_{i \leq j \in \mathbb{N}^r})$ where:

- for each $i \in \mathbb{N}^r$ we have that M_i is a k-module
- For every i ≤ j we have that φ_{i,j}: M_i → M_j is a k-module homomorphism such that whenever i ≤ k ≤ j we have

$$\phi_{k,j} \circ \phi_{i,k} = \phi_{i,j}.$$

In other words, an *r*-parameter persistence module is a functor $F \colon \mathbb{N}^r \to k$ Mod.

Interlude: representation theory of quivers

Interlude: representation theory of quivers

A quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ consists of two non-empty sets Q_0, Q_1 and two maps $s, t: Q_1 \to Q_0$. A quiver is *finite* if both Q_0 and Q_1 are finite.

Whenever s(u) = x and t(u) = y we write $x \xrightarrow{u} y$. For example, the following are finite quivers:



Representations of quivers

Let k be a field. A representation of a quiver (V, ϕ) consists of a family of k-vector spaces $V = \{V_i\}_{i \in Q_0}$ together with a family of k-linear maps $\phi = \{\phi_e \colon V_{s(e) \to V_{t(e)}}|_{e \in Q_1}\}$. A representation (V, ϕ) is finite-dimensional if for all $i \in Q_0$ the vector space V_i is finite-dimensional.

A morphism of representations $f: (V, \phi) \rightarrow (V', \phi')$ is given by *k*-linear maps $f_i: V_i \rightarrow V'_i$ for all $i \in Q_0$ such that the following diagram

commutes for all $e \in Q_1$.

Examples of quiver representations



 \bigcap_{x}

U



Two

finite-dimensional representations $\phi: V' \rightarrow V$ and $\psi: W' \rightarrow W$ are isomorphic iff dim $V' = \dim W'$ and dim $V = \dim W$ and rank $\phi = \operatorname{rank}\psi$. Two

finite-dimensional representations $\phi: V \rightarrow V$ and $\psi: W \rightarrow W$ are isomorphic iff ϕ and ψ have the same Jordan normal form. Studying isomorphism classes of representations of this quiver amounts to studying pairs of quadratic matrices up to simultaneous conjugation.

The direct sum of two representations (ϕ, V) and (ψ, W) is the representation $(\phi \oplus \psi, V \oplus W)$ where $V \oplus W = V_i \oplus W_i$ for all $i \in Q_0$ and $(\phi \oplus \psi)_e = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_e & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_e \end{pmatrix}$.

The direct sum of two representations (ϕ, V) and (ψ, W) is the representation $(\phi \oplus \psi, V \oplus W)$ where $V \oplus W = V_i \oplus W_i$ for all $i \in Q_0$ and $(\phi \oplus \psi)_e = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_e & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_e \end{pmatrix}$.

We say that a representation (ϕ, V) is *indecomposable* if it is non-zero and not isomorphic to a direct sum of two non-zero representations.

The direct sum of two representations (ϕ, V) and (ψ, W) is the representation $(\phi \oplus \psi, V \oplus W)$ where $V \oplus W = V_i \oplus W_i$ for all $i \in Q_0$ and $(\phi \oplus \psi)_e = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_e & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_e \end{pmatrix}$.

We say that a representation (ϕ, V) is *indecomposable* if it is non-zero and not isomorphic to a direct sum of two non-zero representations.

Example: indecomposable representations of the loop quiver are given by the Jordan blocks.

The direct sum of two representations (ϕ, V) and (ψ, W) is the representation $(\phi \oplus \psi, V \oplus W)$ where $V \oplus W = V_i \oplus W_i$ for all $i \in Q_0$ and $(\phi \oplus \psi)_e = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_e & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_e \end{pmatrix}$.

We say that a representation (ϕ, V) is *indecomposable* if it is non-zero and not isomorphic to a direct sum of two non-zero representations.

Example: indecomposable representations of the loop quiver are given by the Jordan blocks.

Theorem (Krull, Remak, Schmidt) Assume that Q is finite, then any finite-dimensional representation (V, ϕ) of Q can be written as a direct sum $(V, \phi) = (V_1, \phi_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (V_r, \phi_r)$ where each (V_i, ϕ_i) is indecomposable, and the decomposition is unique up to isomorphism and permutation of the terms.

Classification of (representations of) quivers



Classification of representations of quivers

Suppose that k is algebraically closed. The number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations is:

Dynkin	Extended Dynkin	Wild
Finite.	Infinite; depends on one parameter.	Infinite; depends on $N > 1$ parameters, where N depends on the quiver.

G. Kac, Infinite root systems, representations of graphs and invariant theory I - II, 1980-2 and P. Gabriel, Unzerlegbare darstellungen I, 1972.

Classification of indecomposable representations of quivers: example

Conside again the loop quiver:



Classification of indecomposable representations of quivers: example

Conside again the loop quiver:

\bigcirc

Recall that two finite-dimensional representations $\phi \colon V \to V$ and $\psi \colon W \to W$ are isomorphic iff ϕ and ψ have the same Jordan normal form, and the isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations of the loop quiver are given by the Jordan blocks.

Each Jordan block depends on a continuous parameter given by the eigenvalue.

Back to multi-parameter persistent homology

A multi-parameter persistence module is a representation of a quiver of the following form:



Back to multi-parameter persistent homology

A multi-parameter persistence module is a representation of a quiver of the following form:



Such quivers are wild.

Back to multi-parameter persistent homology

A multi-parameter persistence module is a representation of a quiver of the following form:



Such quivers are wild.

Our motivation/goal: find computable invariants for applications.

Application: Time evolution of blood vessel growth in presence of tumors



Roche, Oxford Oncology (B. Markelc), Mathematical Biology, University of Oxford (B. Stolz, H. Byrne, J. Grogan)

► Recall that an N^r-graded (or multi-graded) ring is a ring A together with a collection {A_u}_{u∈N^r} of subgroups of the underlying abelian group of A such that A = ⊕_{u∈N^r}A_u and for all a ∈ A_m and b ∈ A_n we have ab ∈ A_{m+n}.

- ► Recall that an N^r-graded (or multi-graded) ring is a ring A together with a collection {A_u}_{u∈N^r} of subgroups of the underlying abelian group of A such that A = ⊕_{u∈N^r}A_u and for all a ∈ A_m and b ∈ A_n we have ab ∈ A_{m+n}.
- ► Make the ring A = k[x₁,...,x_r] into an N^r-graded ring by setting

$$A_u = k x_1^{u_1} \dots x_r^{u_r}$$
 for all $u = (u_1, \dots, u_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$.

- ► Recall that an N^r-graded (or multi-graded) ring is a ring A together with a collection {A_u}_{u∈N^r} of subgroups of the underlying abelian group of A such that A = ⊕_{u∈N^r}A_u and for all a ∈ A_m and b ∈ A_n we have ab ∈ A_{m+n}.
- ► Make the ring A = k[x₁,...,x_r] into an N^r-graded ring by setting

$$A_u = k x_1^{u_1} \dots x_r^{u_r}$$
 for all $u = (u_1, \dots, u_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$.

A module *M* over an N^r-graded ring *A* is *graded* if there is a collection {*M_i*}_{*i*∈N^r} of subgroups of the underlying abelian group of *M* such that *M* = ⊕_{*i*∈N^r}*M_i* and for all *a* ∈ *A_j* we have *aM_i* ⊂ *M_{i+j}*.

- ► Recall that an N^r-graded (or multi-graded) ring is a ring A together with a collection {A_u}_{u∈N^r} of subgroups of the underlying abelian group of A such that A = ⊕_{u∈N^r}A_u and for all a ∈ A_m and b ∈ A_n we have ab ∈ A_{m+n}.
- ► Make the ring A = k[x₁,...,x_r] into an N^r-graded ring by setting

$$A_u = k x_1^{u_1} \dots x_r^{u_r}$$
 for all $u = (u_1, \dots, u_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$.

A module *M* over an N^r-graded ring *A* is *graded* if there is a collection {*M_i*}_{*i*∈N^r} of subgroups of the underlying abelian group of *M* such that *M* = ⊕_{*i*∈N^r}*M_i* and for all *a* ∈ *A_j* we have *aM_i* ⊂ *M_{i+j}*.

Correspondence Theorem of Persistent Homology (Carlsson, Zomorodian '09)

The functor category of *r*-parameter persistence modules is isomorphic to the category of graded $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ -modules and module homomorphisms respecting the grading.

Any persistence module is the homology of a filtered space

The homology of a multi-filtered space is a persistence module.

Any persistence module is the homology of a filtered space

The homology of a multi-filtered space is a persistence module.

On the other hand:

Theorem (Carlsson, Zomorodian, 2009) For any finite persistence module M there exists a multi-filtered space K and a positive natural number i such that M is the homology in degree i of K. Any persistence module is the homology of a filtered space

The homology of a multi-filtered space is a persistence module.

On the other hand:

Theorem (Carlsson, Zomorodian, 2009) For any finite persistence module M there exists a multi-filtered space K and a positive natural number i such that M is the homology in degree i of K.

Therefore, studying the homology of *r*-filtered spaces amounts to studying graded modules over $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$.

Free resolutions and presentations

Let M be a finitely generated graded $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ -module. By the Hilbert Syzygy Theorem there is a free resolution by finitely generated \mathbb{N}^r -graded free $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ -modules of length at most r:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_m \xrightarrow{\phi_m} F_{m-1} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\operatorname{image}(\phi_i) = \operatorname{kernel}(\phi_{i-1})$ and each F_i is a finitely generated graded free $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ -module and $m \leq r$.

Free resolutions and presentations

Let M be a finitely generated graded $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ -module. By the Hilbert Syzygy Theorem there is a free resolution by finitely generated \mathbb{N}^r -graded free $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ -modules of length at most r:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_m \xrightarrow{\phi_m} F_{m-1} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\operatorname{image}(\phi_i) = \operatorname{kernel}(\phi_{i-1})$ and each F_i is a finitely generated graded free $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ -module and $m \leq r$.

The first part

$$F_1 \stackrel{\phi_1}{\longrightarrow} F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

of a free resolution of a module is called *presentation*. If we are given a presentation of M, we can then explicitly write M as the quotient $F_0/\text{im}\phi_1$.

Minimal presentations and resolutions

Resolutions and presentations are in general not unique.

Minimal presentations and resolutions

Resolutions and presentations are in general not unique.

Example:¹ Let $M = (x_1x_2, x_1x_3) \subset k[x_1, x_2, x_3] = S$. The following are two free resolutions of M:

$$0 \longrightarrow S \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ -x_2 \end{pmatrix}} S^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_1 x_2 & x_1 x_3 \end{pmatrix}} M \longrightarrow 0$$
$$0 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} S^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_2 x_3 \\ -x_2 & -x_2^2 \end{pmatrix}} S^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_1 x_2 & x_1 x_3 \end{pmatrix}} M \longrightarrow 0$$

However, minimal presentations of modules over local or graded rings are unique up to isomorphism.

¹Bulletin of the AMS, July 2016

Invariants from resolutions and presentations

Minimal presentations are invariants of a module, and one can compute many invariants from minimal presentations and resolutions, such as:

Betti numbers

(Multi-graded) Hilbert series



Presentation of a persistence module: naïve Algorithm

Since the *i*th homology of the *i*th chain complex of a multi-filtered simplicial complex is defined as

 $H_i = \operatorname{kernel}(d_i)/\operatorname{image}(d_{i+1}),$

an algorithm to compute a presentation of H_i is given by the following steps:

1. Compute a presentation of $image(d_{i+1})$.

¹G. Carlsson, G. Singh, A. Zomorodian, *Computing multidimensional persistence*, 2010.
Presentation of a persistence module: naïve Algorithm

Since the *i*th homology of the *i*th chain complex of a multi-filtered simplicial complex is defined as

 $H_i = \operatorname{kernel}(d_i)/\operatorname{image}(d_{i+1}),$

an algorithm to compute a presentation of H_i is given by the following steps:

- 1. Compute a presentation of $image(d_{i+1})$.
- 2. Compute a presentation of kernel(d_i).

¹G. Carlsson, G. Singh, A. Zomorodian, *Computing multidimensional persistence*, 2010.

Presentation of a persistence module: naïve Algorithm

Since the *i*th homology of the *i*th chain complex of a multi-filtered simplicial complex is defined as

 $H_i = \operatorname{kernel}(d_i)/\operatorname{image}(d_{i+1}),$

an algorithm to compute a presentation of H_i is given by the following steps:

- 1. Compute a presentation of $image(d_{i+1})$.
- 2. Compute a presentation of kernel(d_i).
- 3. Compute a presentation of the quotient H_i .

Problem: the known algorithms to compute $image(d_{i+1})$ are exponential in time and space¹.

¹G. Carlsson, G. Singh, A. Zomorodian, *Computing multidimensional persistence*, 2010.

In 2010 Carlsson, Singh and Zomorodian² put forward an optimisation of the algorithm to compute a presentation of homology of 'one-critical' multi-filtered complexes.

²G. Carlsson, G. Singh, and A. Zomorodian, *Computing multidimensional persistence*, 2010.

In 2010 Carlsson, Singh and Zomorodian² put forward an optimisation of the algorithm to compute a presentation of homology of 'one-critical' multi-filtered complexes.

Let $K = \{K_u\}_{u \in \mathbb{N}^r}$ be a multi-filtered simplicial complex. We assume that there exists $v \in \mathbb{N}^r$ such that K_v is a finite simplicial complex, and $K_u = K_v$ for all $u \ge v$. We denote the simplicial complex K_v by K_{tot} .

²G. Carlsson, G. Singh, and A. Zomorodian, *Computing multidimensional persistence*, 2010.

In 2010 Carlsson, Singh and Zomorodian² put forward an optimisation of the algorithm to compute a presentation of homology of 'one-critical' multi-filtered complexes.

Let $K = \{K_u\}_{u \in \mathbb{N}^r}$ be a multi-filtered simplicial complex. We assume that there exists $v \in \mathbb{N}^r$ such that K_v is a finite simplicial complex, and $K_u = K_v$ for all $u \ge v$. We denote the simplicial complex K_v by K_{tot} .

For any $\sigma \in K_{\text{tot}}$ define the set of *generators of* σ to be

$$gen(\sigma) = \min\{v \in \mathbb{N}^r \mid \sigma \in K(v)\}.$$

²G. Carlsson, G. Singh, and A. Zomorodian, *Computing multidimensional persistence*, 2010.

In 2010 Carlsson, Singh and Zomorodian² put forward an optimisation of the algorithm to compute a presentation of homology of 'one-critical' multi-filtered complexes.

Let $K = \{K_u\}_{u \in \mathbb{N}^r}$ be a multi-filtered simplicial complex. We assume that there exists $v \in \mathbb{N}^r$ such that K_v is a finite simplicial complex, and $K_u = K_v$ for all $u \ge v$. We denote the simplicial complex K_v by K_{tot} .

For any $\sigma \in K_{\text{tot}}$ define the set of *generators of* σ to be

$$gen(\sigma) = \min\{v \in \mathbb{N}^r \mid \sigma \in K(v)\}.$$

A multi-filtered simplicial complex is *one-critical* if the set of generators of every simplex has cardinality one.

²G. Carlsson, G. Singh, and A. Zomorodian, *Computing multidimensional persistence*, 2010.

One-critical multi-filtered simplicial complex: example

One-critical:



One-critical multi-filtered simplicial complex: example



Optimization

For a one-critical multifiltered simplicial complex K:

- the chain modules are free modules, hence one can choose bases for them
- The standard basis is the basis of simplices in degree given by their generator
- The boundary maps can be written as homogeneous matrices with monomial entries
- Carlsson, Singh and Zomorodian show that this gives a polynomial bound on complexity.
- The resulting presentation is not an invariant, as it depends on a choice of basis.

Presentation of a module: algorithm by Chacholski-Scolamiero-Vaccarino (CSV)

In 2014 Chacholski, Scolamiero and Vaccarino³ put forward a polynomial-time algorithm to compute a presentation of homology of arbitrary multi-filtered simplicial complexes.

³W. Chacholski, M. Scolamiero, and F. Vaccarino, *Combinatorial presentation of multidimensional persistent homology*, 2014.

Presentation of a module: algorithm by Chacholski-Scolamiero-Vaccarino (CSV)

In 2014 Chacholski, Scolamiero and Vaccarino³ put forward a polynomial-time algorithm to compute a presentation of homology of arbitrary multi-filtered simplicial complexes.

- For any u ∈ N^r, denote by K_{n,u} the set of n-simplices in K_u; the assignment u → K_{n,u} induces a functor K_n: N^r → Sets, where Sets is the category of sets.
- For any v ∈ N^r and any i ∈ {0,..., n+1} define the following map

$$d_i \colon K_{n+1,\nu} \longrightarrow K_{n,\nu} \colon \{x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}\} \mapsto \{x_0, \ldots, \hat{x_i}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\}$$

where \hat{x}_i means that we omit the vertex x_i . The maps d_i give natural transformations $K_{n+1} \rightarrow K_n$.

³W. Chacholski, M. Scolamiero, and F. Vaccarino, *Combinatorial presentation of multidimensional persistent homology*, 2014.

CSV algorithm

Let $S = k[x_1, ..., x_r]$. There exists a sequence of free graded *S*-modules

$$\mathcal{RK}K_n \oplus \mathcal{RG}K_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\pi \oplus d} \mathcal{RG}K_n \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{RD}_{n-1}$$

such that $\alpha \circ (\pi \oplus d)$ is trivial, and the $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ -module $\operatorname{kernel}(\alpha)/\operatorname{im}(\pi \oplus d)$ is isomorphic to the homology in degree *n* of the multi-filtered simplicial complex *K*,

CSV algorithm

Let $S = k[x_1, ..., x_r]$. There exists a sequence of free graded *S*-modules

$$\mathcal{RK}K_{n} \oplus \mathcal{RG}K_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\pi \oplus d} \mathcal{RG}K_{n} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{RD}_{n-1}$$

such that $\alpha \circ (\pi \oplus d)$ is trivial, and the $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ -module $\operatorname{kernel}(\alpha)/\operatorname{im}(\pi \oplus d)$ is isomorphic to the homology in degree *n* of the multi-filtered simplicial complex *K*, where

►
$$\mathcal{RKK}_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in K_{\text{tot},n}} \bigoplus_{v_0 \neq v_1 \in \text{gen}(\sigma)} x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} S$$

► $\mathcal{RGK}_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in K_{\text{tot},n}} \bigoplus_{v \in \text{gen}(\sigma)} x^v S$, and
► $\mathcal{RD}_{n-1} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in K_{\text{tot},n-1}} S.$
with the notation $x^u := x_1^{u_1} \dots x_r^{u_r}.$

CSV algorithm

The homomorphisms are defined as follows:

- (π) For any $\sigma \in K_n$ and $v_0 \neq v_1 \in \text{gen}(\sigma)$, the homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{RK}K_n \to \mathcal{RGK}_n$ sends $x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}}$ to $x^{v_0} x^{v_1}$.
- (d) For any $\sigma \in K_{n+1}$ and $v \in gen(\sigma)$, the homomorphism $d: \mathcal{RGK}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{RGK}_n$ sends x^v to $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i x^{\widetilde{d_i(\sigma)}}$, where $\widetilde{d_i(\sigma)}$ is the minimal element in the set $\{w \in gen(d_i(\sigma)) \mid w \leq v\}$ with respect to the lexicographical order.
- (α) For any $\sigma \in K_n$ and $v \in \text{gen}(\sigma)$, the homomorphism $\alpha \colon \mathcal{RGK}_n \to \mathcal{RD}_{n-1}$ sends x^v to $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i(\sigma)$.

We illustrate the algorithm for the computation of H_1 of the following 2-filtered simplicial complex K:



We illustrate the algorithm for the computation of H_1 of the following 2-filtered simplicial complex K:



$$gen(\{a\}) = \{(0,1), (1,0)\}$$

We illustrate the algorithm for the computation of H_1 of the following 2-filtered simplicial complex K:



$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{gen}(\{a\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}\\ \operatorname{gen}(\{b\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\} \end{array}$$

We illustrate the algorithm for the computation of H_1 of the following 2-filtered simplicial complex K:



$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{gen}(\{a\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\} \\ & \operatorname{gen}(\{b\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\} \\ & \operatorname{gen}(\{c\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\} \end{split}$$

We illustrate the algorithm for the computation of H_1 of the following 2-filtered simplicial complex K:



$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{gen}(\{a\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\} \\ & \operatorname{gen}(\{b\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\} \\ & \operatorname{gen}(\{c\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\} \\ & \operatorname{gen}(\{a,b\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\} \end{split}$$

We illustrate the algorithm for the computation of H_1 of the following 2-filtered simplicial complex K:



$$gen(\{a\}) = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$$

$$gen(\{b\}) = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$$

$$gen(\{c\}) = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$$

$$gen(\{a, b\}) = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$$

$$gen(\{b, c\}) = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$$

We illustrate the algorithm for the computation of H_1 of the following 2-filtered simplicial complex K:



$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{gen}(\{a\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}\\ & \operatorname{gen}(\{b\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}\\ & \operatorname{gen}(\{c\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}\\ & \operatorname{gen}(\{a,b\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}\\ & \operatorname{gen}(\{b,c\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}\\ & \operatorname{gen}(\{a,c\}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\} \end{split}$$

We illustrate the algorithm for the computation of H_1 of the following 2-filtered simplicial complex K:



$$gen({a}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}$$

$$gen({b}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}$$

$$gen({c}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}$$

$$gen({a,b}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}$$

$$gen({b,c}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}$$

$$gen({a,c}) = \{(0,1),(1,0)\}$$

$$gen({a,b,c}) = \{(1,1)\}$$

Let
$$S = k[x_1, x_2]$$
. Then:

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{RK} \mathcal{K}_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot},1}} \bigoplus_{v_0 \neq v_1 \in \text{gen}(\sigma)} x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} S$$

Let
$$S = k[x_1, x_2]$$
. Then:

•
$$\mathcal{RKK}_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in K_{\text{tot},1}} \bigoplus_{v_0 \neq v_1 \in \text{gen}(\sigma)} x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} S$$
, so
 $\mathcal{RKK}_1 = x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S$

Let
$$S = k[x_1, x_2]$$
. Then:

►
$$\mathcal{RKK}_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{K}_{tot,1}} \bigoplus_{v_0 \neq v_1 \in gen(\sigma)} x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} S$$
, so
 $\mathcal{RKK}_1 = x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S$

$$\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{RGK}_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in K_{\text{tot},n}} \bigoplus_{v \in \text{gen}(\sigma)} x^v S$$

Let
$$S = k[x_1, x_2]$$
. Then:

$$\mathcal{RKK}_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot},1}} \bigoplus_{v_0 \neq v_1 \in \text{gen}(\sigma)} x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} S \text{ , so}$$
$$\mathcal{RKK}_1 = x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S$$

•
$$\mathcal{RGK}_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in K_{\text{tot},n}} \bigoplus_{v \in \text{gen}(\sigma)} x^v S$$
, so
 $\mathcal{RGK}_2 = x_1 x_2 S$

Let $S = k[x_1, x_2]$. Then:

$$\mathcal{RKK}_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot},1}} \bigoplus_{v_0 \neq v_1 \in \text{gen}(\sigma)} x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} S \text{ , so}$$
$$\mathcal{RKK}_1 = x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S$$

$$\mathcal{RGK}_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in K_{\text{tot},n}} \bigoplus_{\nu \in \text{gen}(\sigma)} x^{\nu} S, \text{ so}$$

$$\mathcal{RGK}_2 = x_1 x_2 S$$

$$\mathcal{RGK}_1 = x_1 S \oplus x_2 S \oplus x_1 S \oplus x_2 S \oplus x_1 S \oplus x_2 S$$

Let $S = k[x_1, x_2]$. Then:

►
$$\mathcal{RKK}_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{K}_{tot,1}} \bigoplus_{v_0 \neq v_1 \in gen(\sigma)} x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} S$$
, so
 $\mathcal{RKK}_1 = x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S$

$$\mathcal{RGK}_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot},n}} \bigoplus_{v \in \text{gen}(\sigma)} x^v S, \text{ so}$$
$$\mathcal{RGK}_2 = x_1 x_2 S$$
$$\mathcal{RGK}_1 = x_1 S \oplus x_2 S \oplus x_1 S \oplus x_2 S \oplus x_1 S \oplus x_2 S$$

•
$$\mathcal{R}D_0 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in K_{\text{tot},0}} k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$$
, so

Let $S = k[x_1, x_2]$. Then:

►
$$\mathcal{RKK}_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{K}_{tot,1}} \bigoplus_{v_0 \neq v_1 \in gen(\sigma)} x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} S$$
, so
 $\mathcal{RKK}_1 = x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S \oplus x_1 x_2 S$

$$\mathcal{RGK}_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in K_{\text{tot},n}} \bigoplus_{v \in \text{gen}(\sigma)} x^v S, \text{ so}$$
$$\mathcal{RGK}_2 = x_1 x_2 S$$
$$\mathcal{RGK}_1 = x_1 S \oplus x_2 S \oplus x_1 S \oplus x_2 S \oplus x_1 S \oplus x_2 S$$

$$\mathcal{R}D_0 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in K_{\text{tot},0}} k[x_1, \dots, x_r], \text{ so}$$
$$\mathcal{R}DK_0 = S \oplus S \oplus S$$

• $\pi : \mathcal{RK}K_1 \to \mathcal{RG}K_1$ sends $x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}}$ to $x^{v_0} - x^{v_1}$, so

• $\pi: \mathcal{RK}K_1 \to \mathcal{RG}K_1 \text{ sends } x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} \text{ to } x^{v_0} - x^{v_1}, \text{ so}$

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\pi : \mathcal{RK}K_1 \to \mathcal{RG}K_1 \text{ sends } x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} \text{ to } x^{v_0} - x^{v_1}, \text{ so}$ $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix}$

• $d: \mathcal{RGK}_2 \to \mathcal{RGK}_1$ sends x^v to $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i x^{d_i(\sigma)}$

• $\pi: \mathcal{RKK}_1 \to \mathcal{RGK}_1 \text{ sends } x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} \text{ to } x^{v_0} - x^{v_1}, \text{ so}$ $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix}$

• $d: \mathcal{RGK}_2 \to \mathcal{RGK}_1$ sends x^v to $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i x^{d_i(\sigma)}$, so $d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_2 & 0 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix}^t$

• $\pi: \mathcal{RKK}_1 \to \mathcal{RGK}_1 \text{ sends } x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}} \text{ to } x^{v_0} - x^{v_1}, \text{ so}$ $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix}$

► $d: \mathcal{RGK}_2 \to \mathcal{RGK}_1$ sends x^v to $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i x^{d_i(\sigma)}$, so $d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_2 & 0 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix}^t$ ► $\alpha: \mathcal{RGK}_1 \to \mathcal{RD}_0$ sends x^v to $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i(\sigma)$

• $\pi: \mathcal{RK}K_1 \to \mathcal{RG}K_1$ sends $x^{\max\{v_0, v_1\}}$ to $x^{v_0} - x^{v_1}$. so $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ • $d: \mathcal{RGK}_2 \to \mathcal{RGK}_1$ sends x^{ν} to $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i x^{d_i(\sigma)}$, so $d = (0 -x_2 \ 0 -x_2 \ 0 -x_2)^t$ • $\alpha : \mathcal{RGK}_1 \to \mathcal{RD}_0$ sends x^{\vee} to $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i(\sigma)$, so

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By using a computational algebra software package one can then compute the following minimal presentation:

$$0 \longrightarrow S^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}} S^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_2 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}} H_1(K) \longrightarrow 0$$

and thus

$$H_1(\mathcal{K}) = \frac{x_1 S}{(x_1 x_2)} \oplus \frac{x_2 S}{(x_1 x_2)}.$$
CSV algorithm: example



Conclusions

Conclusions

- Need efficient implementation of algorithm by Chacholski, Scolamiero and Vaccarino.
- Computational algebra libraries are not efficient.
- How complex is the problem in practice?
- Insight from geometric invariant theory?