*NUMERICAL MODELING OF THE IMPACT OF HYDRATE FORMATION DURING GEOLOGICAL CARBON STORAGE (GCS)* 

# *ERIC FLAURAUD*, ANTHONY MICHEL ISABELLE FAILLE, VÉRONIQUE LACHET, YUFEI WANG



1

### OUTLINE

#### **O**Introduction

● General context ● CO2 storage in depleted gas reservoir ● CO2 storage in deep ocean sediments

#### Mathematical model

Non-isothermal reactive compositional multiphase flow in porous media

- **O** Hydrate kinetic reaction
- Joule-Thomson effect

#### Numerical simulation

# INTRODUCTION



### **CONTEXT**

- OIn the last decades, the CO2 concentration in the atmosphere has dramatically increased due to excessive burning of fossil fuels.
- O This greenhouse gas has an important impact on climate change.
- A solution to reduce the concentration of CO2 in the atmosphere is the *storage in geological formations*:
	- **O** In deep saline aquifers
	- O In unmineable coal seams
	- **In depleted oil or gas reservoirs**
	- **In deep ocean sediments**









Gas hydrate

Joule-Thomson

## $CO<sub>2</sub>$  STORAGE IN DEEP OCEAN SEDIMENTS

#### **High pressure** and **low temperature** in deep ocean environnement

*Hydrate Cap: Hydrate formation may block the CO2 plume*

*Gravitational Trapping : Negative buoyancy may seal the CO2 plume*

 $\rho_{CO_2} > \rho_{H_2O} \Leftrightarrow \rho_{H_2O} \cdot \rho_{CO_2} < 0$ 

### ● A more detailed study can be found in [1]

*[1] A numerical model for offshore Geological Carbon Storage (GCS) undergoing hydrate formation* Yufei Wang Eric Flauraud, Anthony Michel, Véronique Lachet and Clémentine Meiller, Computational Geosciences, July 2024



Figure: Injecting and storing CO2 in the deep ocean sediment



# MATHEMATICAL MODEL



### NON-ISOTHERMAL REACTIVE COMPOSITIONAL MULTIPHASE FLOW IN POROUS MEDIA

#### Example of multiphase compositional system formulation





### NON-ISOTHERMAL REACTIVE COMPOSITIONAL MULTIPHASE FLOW IN POROUS MEDIA

#### **O** Conservation equations

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\phi_f S_g \rho_g X_{CO2}^g) + div(\rho_g X_{CO2}^g \vec{v}_g) + Q_{CO_2} + R_{CO_2} = 0 \qquad (CO_2)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\phi_f S_g \rho_g X_{CH_4}^g) + div(\rho_g X_{CH_4}^g \vec{v}_g) + Q_{CH_4} = 0 \qquad (CH_4)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\phi_f S_w \rho_w X_{H_2O}^w) + div(\rho_w X_{H_2O}^w \vec{v}_w) + Q_{H_2O} + R_{H_2O} = 0 \qquad (H_2O)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\phi_s S_r \rho_r X_{ROC}^r) = 0 \qquad (ROC)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\phi_s S_h \rho_h X_{HYD}^h) + R_{HYD} = 0 \qquad (HYD)
$$

#### **OClosure equations**



## <sup>2</sup> HYDRATE KINETIC FORMATION/DISSOCIATION

**O** Chemical reaction:  $n_h H_2 O(w) + CO_2(g) \leftrightarrow HYD$ 

where  $n_h$  is the hydrate number  $n_h \approx 6$ 

 $\bigcirc$  Reaction rate  $\tau$ :

$$
= -k_r A_r (P_h(T, C_s) - P)
$$

- $k_r$  is the kinetic rate constant:  $k_r = k_{r0} e^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}}$  $RT$
- $A_{\bm r}$  is the reaction surface:  $A_{\bm r} = A_{\bm 0} \, \Gamma_{\! \bm r}$ 
	- $\bullet$  A<sub>0</sub> is the pore surface area
	- $\Gamma_r$  is the active fraction of the pore surface area  $\Gamma_r = S_w S_g X_{H_2O}^w X_{CO_2}^g$  (hydrate formation)  $\Gamma_r = S_h$  (hydrate dissociation)
- $P_h(T,\mathcal{C}_{\pmb{s}})$  is the equilibrium pressure of hydrate  $\bullet$   $P_h(T, C_s) - P$  measures the deviation from equilibrium  $\bigcirc$  if  $P_h(T, C_s) < P \implies \tau > 0$ : Formation  $\bigcirc$  if  $P_h(T, C_s) > P \implies \tau < 0$ : Dissociation  $\bullet$  if  $P_h(T, C_s) = P \implies \tau = 0$ : **Equilibrium**

$$
\bullet \boxed{R_{HYD} = -\tau, R_{CO_2} = \tau \text{ and } R_{H_2O} = n_h \tau}
$$

 $P_h(T, C_s)$ 





### NON-ISOTHERMAL REACTIVE COMPOSITIONAL MULTIPHASE FLOW IN POROUS MEDIA

### **O Energy balance equation:**

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \phi_f \sum_{\alpha \in \{w,g\}} S_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} + \phi_s \sum_{\beta \in \{r,h\}} S_{\beta} \rho_{\beta} u_{\beta} \right) + div \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \{w,g\}} \rho_{\alpha} h_{\alpha} \vec{v}_{\alpha} - \Lambda_E \vec{v} T \right) + Q_E = 0
$$

O Specific internal energy:

$$
u_{\alpha} = h_{\alpha} - \frac{P}{\rho_{\alpha}} \qquad \alpha \in \{w, g\} \qquad u_{\beta} = h_{\beta} \qquad \beta \in \{r, h\}
$$

O Specific enthalpy:

**D** Simple linear law : 
$$
h_{\alpha}(T, P) = h_{ref, \alpha} + C_{p, \alpha} \left( (T - T_{ref}) - \mu_{JT, \alpha} (P - P_{ref}) \right)
$$

 $\bullet$   $h_{\alpha,ref}$ ,  $T_{ref}$  and  $P_{ref}$  are the reference enthalpy, the reference temperature and the reference pressure.  $C_{\alpha,p}$  is the heat capacity factor and  $\mu_{IT,\alpha}$  is the Joule-Thomson coefficent.

**O** Equation of state (EOS) :  $h_{\alpha}(T, P, X) = h_{id,\alpha}(T, X) + h_{res,\alpha}(T, P, X)$ 

Ideal enthalpy:  $h_{id,\alpha}(T,X) = \sum_i X_i^{\alpha} h_{id}^i(T)$ 

11 **|** © 2020 IFPEN C Residual enthalpy (EOS):  $h_{res,\alpha}(T, P, X) = \sum_i X_i^{\alpha} h_{res}^i(T, P, X)$ 



#### Joule-Thomson coefficient

$$
\mu_{JT} = \frac{dT}{dP} = \frac{1}{C_p} \left( T \left( \frac{\partial V_g}{\partial T} \right)_P - V_g \right) = -\frac{1}{Cp \cdot \rho_g} \left( \frac{T}{\rho_g} \left( \frac{\partial \rho_g}{\partial T} \right)_P + 1 \right)
$$

**O** Joule-Thomson effect

 $\bullet$  For a gas expansion:  $dP < 0$ 

If  $\mu_{IT} > 0 \Rightarrow dT < 0$ : The gas expansion leads to a cooling.

If  $\mu_{IT} < 0 \Rightarrow dT > 0$ : The gas expansion leads to a warming up.

 $\bullet$  For a gas compression:  $dP > 0$ 

If  $\mu_{IT} > 0 \Rightarrow dT > 0$ : The gas compression leads to a warming up.

If  $\mu_{IT} < 0 \Rightarrow dT < 0$ : The gas compression leads to a cooling.



# MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION: COATS FORMULATION

O The unknowns are:

 $P, T, S_w, S_g, S_h, S_r, \phi_f, \phi_s, X_{H_{2O}}^w, X_{C O_2}^g, X_{CH_4}^g, X_{Roc}^r, X_{HYD}^h$ 

O The equations are discretized with a fully implicit two-point flux finite volume scheme.

O The resulting nonlinear system is solved using the Newton method.

O The size of the system is reduced by pre-eliminating all local equations.

- The set of unknowns is subdivided into a set of primary and secondary unknowns whose definition depends on the local context (variable switching).
- O The context is defined at each Newton iteration by using a flash calculation to predict the appearance of a phase and by using the sign of the saturations to predict the disappearance of a phase.



# NUMERICAL SIMULATION



### INJECTION OF  $CO_2$  IN A GAS ( $CH_4$ ) DEPLETED RESERVOIR

 $CO<sub>2</sub>(g)$  $R = 1000m$ ● 1D radial geometry  $K = 20$  mD  $H = 90m$  $\bigcirc$  Radial mesh ( $\Delta r$ ,  $\Delta \theta$ ):  $X_{CH_4}^g = 1$  $\phi_f = 0.11$  $0.002 \text{m} < \Delta r < 48m$ ,  $\Delta \theta = 5^{\circ}$ , Neells = 100  $S_{W} = 0.2$  $X_{H_2O}^{W} = 1$  $S_g = 0.8$ **O** Using EOS for fluid properties **Olnitial conditions:**  $P_{res} = 20bar$ ,  $T_{res} = 105^{\circ}C$ ,  $C_s = (0 g/l)$ , 30  $g/l$ **Olnjection conditions for 5 years:**  $\Delta\theta = 5^{\circ}$  $Q_{CO_2} = 0.35 Kg. s^{-1} (0.8 Mt/year), T_{inj} = 12°C$  $\overline{\Delta r}$ **NEWSBEATING** Geoxim radial mesh







 $10<sup>0</sup>$ 

 $r(m)$ 

( $C_s = 0g/l$ ) -----  $(C_s = 30g/l)$ 



 $10^0$ 

 $r(m)$ 







18 **<sup>|</sup>**© 2 0 2 0 I F P E N

# NUMERICAL RESULTS WITH SALINITY ( $C_s = 30 g/l$ )

## NUMERICAL RESULTS - CONCLUSION

**O** These numerical simulations of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  injection into a depleted reservoir have verified that:

- EOS can well reproduce the Joule-Thomson cooling effect close to the well
- $\bigcirc$  JT cooling effect  $\Rightarrow$   $CO<sub>2</sub>$  hydrate formation
- $\odot$   $CO_{2}$  hydrate formation  $\Rightarrow$  decrease in porosity and permeability  $\Rightarrow$  loss of injectivity
- But increasing salinity reduces the formation of hydrates

**O** Future works:

- Porosity and permeability sensitivity analysis
- $\bullet$  Tacking into account the dissolution of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  in water and water vaporization

…





Geoxim 2D radial mesh

## NUMERICAL RESULTS WITH  $Z_{top} = -3500m$

● Initial Hydrate Formation Zone (HFZ) and initial Negative Buoyancy Zone (NBZ)



21 **| © 2020 IFPEN** 

## NUMERICAL RESULTS WITH  $Z_{top} = -3500m$



## NUMERICAL RESULTS WITH  $Z_{top} = -4000m$

● Initial Hydrate Formation Zone (HFZ) and initial Negative Buoyancy Zone (NBZ)





## NUMERICAL RESULTS WITH  $Z_{top} = -4000m$



## NUMERICAL RESULTS - CONCLUSION

● Storing CO2 in deep ocean sediments is not safe:

- $\bullet$  An amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  leaks out of the sediments.
- $\bullet$  The amount of hydrate formation is too small to block the  $CO_2$  plume.
- $\bullet$  The Negative Buoyancy zone decreases due to rising temperatures and dissolution of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ in water.

**O** Permanent  $CO_2$  storage can exist in super deep ocean high permeability sediments which may bring high costs.



# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



Innovating for energy

#### Find us on:

www.ifpenergiesnouvelles.com

**S** @IFPENinnovation

