# Reactive flash: Unified formulation and efficient resolution

Maxime Jonval, Ibtihel Ben Gharbia, Clément Cancès,

Thibault Faney and Quang-Huy Tran

Inria - IFPEN

December 9, 2024



Université de Lille





# Outline

## Context and motivation

### 2 Chemical equilibrium problem

- Unified formulation
- Parametrization of the log
- Complementarity problem

#### 3 Numerical results

# Software and applications





# Software and applications



• ArXim **issues**:

- robustness
- precision
- ▶ slowness: up to 90% of CooresFlow's computational time !

## Context and motivation

### 2 Chemical equilibrium problem

- Unified formulation
- Parametrization of the log
- Complementarity problem

#### <sup>3</sup> Numerical results

## Context and motivation

## 2 Chemical equilibrium problem

- Unified formulation
- Parametrization of the log
- Complementarity problem

### 3 Numerical results

# Chemical speciation example

• Water dissociation:

- $\begin{array}{ll} 1 \mbox{ reaction } & \mathcal{R} = \{ H_2 O = H^+ + O H^- \} \\ 2 \mbox{ elements } & \mathcal{E} = \{ H, O \} \\ 3 \mbox{ species } & \mathcal{S} = \{ H^+, O H^-, H_2 O \} \end{array}$
- Formula and stoichiometric matrices:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}^{+} & \mathbf{O}\mathbf{H}^{-} & \mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O} \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H} \\ \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}^{+} \\ \mathbf{O}\mathbf{H}^{-} \\ \mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{0}$$

• Unknowns and constraints:

$$\mathbf{n} = [n_{\mathrm{H}^+}, \, n_{\mathrm{OH}^-}, \, n_{\mathrm{H_2O}}]^T \qquad \qquad \mathbf{b} = [\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{H}}, \, \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{O}}]^T$$

• Elements conservation:

 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{b}$ 

## Chemical equilibrium: the multiphase case

•  $N_{Sp}$  species in  $N_{Ph}$  phases:

$$\sigma: i \in \{1, \dots, N_{Sp}\} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \{1, \dots, N_{Ph}\}$$

• Gibbs free energy:

$$G(\mathbf{n}) := \sum_{lpha=1}^{N_{Ph}} G_{lpha}(\mathbf{n}^{lpha}) \quad ext{where} \quad G_{lpha}(\mathbf{n}^{lpha}) = \sum_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(lpha)} n_i \mu_i(\mathbf{n}^{lpha})$$

• Chemical potential:

$$\mu_i(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) := \mu_i^{\circ} + RT \ln x_i(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha})$$

• Mole fraction:

$$x_i(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) := \frac{n_i}{\sum_{j \in \sigma^{-1}(\alpha)} n_j}$$

## Chemical equilibrium problem

• Gibbs energy minimization:

$$\min_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{b}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{Ph}} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) := \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} G_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) & \text{if } \mathbf{n}^{\alpha} \geq \mathbf{0} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

• Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{b}&=\mathbf{0},\ \mathbf{S}^Toldsymbol{\mu}&=\mathbf{0},\ oldsymbol{\mu}&=(oldsymbol{\mu}^lpha)_{lpha=1,...,N_{Ph}}\ oldsymbol{\mu}^lpha\in\partial\mathcal{G}_lpha(\mathbf{n}^lpha) \end{aligned}$$

where

 $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha} \in \partial \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) \ \Leftrightarrow \ \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{m}^{\alpha}) \geq \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha}, \, \mathbf{m}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{n}^{\alpha} \rangle, \, \forall \mathbf{m}^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{\#\sigma^{-1}(\alpha)}$ 

## Chemical equilibrium problem

• Gibbs energy minimization:

$$\min_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{b}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{Ph}} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) := \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} G_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) & \text{if } \mathbf{n}^{\alpha} \geq \mathbf{0} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

• Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{An} - \mathbf{b} &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{S}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \boldsymbol{\mu} &= (\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha})_{\alpha = 1, \dots, N_{Ph}} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha} &\in \partial \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha} \in \partial \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) \, \Leftrightarrow \, \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{m}^{\alpha}) \geq \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha}, \, \mathbf{m}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{n}^{\alpha} \rangle, \, \forall \mathbf{m}^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{\#\sigma^{-1}(\alpha)}$$

Extended mole frations [Lauser et al. 2011, Vu et al. 2021]

• Proposition.  $\mu^{\alpha} \in \partial \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) \Leftrightarrow \exists (s_{\alpha}, r_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha}) \text{ such that}$  $\mu_{i} = \mu_{i}^{0} + RT \ln \xi_{i} \quad \text{et} \quad n_{i} = s_{\alpha}\xi_{i}, \quad (\forall i)$ 

satisfying

$$\sum_{\substack{i \in \sigma^{-1}(\alpha)}} \xi_i + r_\alpha = 1$$
$$s_\alpha r_\alpha = 0, \quad s_\alpha, r_\alpha \ge 0$$

• Present phase:

$$s_{\alpha} > 0, r_{\alpha} = 0$$
 et  $\xi_i = \frac{n_i}{s_{\alpha}} = x_i(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha})$ 

• Absent phase:

$$s_{\alpha} = 0, r_{\alpha} \ge 0$$
 et  $\sum_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(\alpha)} \xi_i \le 1$ 

Extended mole frations [Lauser et al. 2011, Vu et al. 2021]

• Proposition.  $\mu^{\alpha} \in \partial \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) \Leftrightarrow \exists (s_{\alpha}, r_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha}) \text{ such that}$  $\mu_{i} = \mu_{i}^{0} + RT \ln \xi_{i} \quad \text{et} \quad n_{i} = s_{\alpha}\xi_{i}, \quad (\forall i)$ 

satisfying

$$\sum_{\substack{i\in\sigma^{-1}(\alpha)}}\xi_i+r_\alpha=1$$
$$s_\alpha r_\alpha=0,\quad s_\alpha,\,r_\alpha\geq 0$$

• Present phase:

$$s_{\alpha} > 0, r_{\alpha} = 0$$
 et  $\xi_i = \frac{n_i}{s_{\alpha}} = x_i(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha})$ 

• Absent phase:

$$s_{\alpha} = 0, r_{\alpha} \ge 0$$
 et  $\sum_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(\alpha)} \xi_i \le 1$ 

# Multiphase chemical equilibrium problem

 $\Leftrightarrow$ 

• Equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{An} - \mathbf{b} &= \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{S}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} &= \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu} &= (\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha})_{\alpha = 1, \dots, N_{Ph}} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha} &\in \partial \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{Ph}} s_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{b} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{S}^{T} [\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\circ} / (RT) + \ln \boldsymbol{\xi}] = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\sum_{i} \xi_{i} + r_{\alpha} - 1 = 0, \quad (\forall \alpha)$$
$$s_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} = 0, \quad (\forall \alpha)$$
$$s_{\alpha} \ge 0, \ r_{\alpha} \ge 0, \quad (\forall \alpha)$$

• Dimension :  $|\mathcal{S}| + 2|\mathcal{P}|$ 

## Multiphase chemical equilibrium problem

• Equations:

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{Ph}} s_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{b} = 0$$
  

$$\mathbf{S}^{T} [\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\circ} / (RT) + \ln \boldsymbol{\xi}] = \mathbf{0}$$
  

$$\sum_{i} \xi_{i} + r_{\alpha} - 1 = 0, \qquad (\forall \alpha)$$
  

$$s_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} = 0, \qquad (\forall \alpha)$$
  

$$s_{\alpha} \geq 0, r_{\alpha} \geq 0, \qquad (\forall \alpha)$$

#### • Two difficulties:

▶ Nonlinear terms:

 $\ln \xi_i$ 

• Complementarity problem:

$$s_{\alpha}r_{\alpha} = 0,$$
  
$$s_{\alpha} \ge 0, \ r_{\alpha} \ge 0$$

## Context and motivation

- 2 Chemical equilibrium problem
   Unified formulation
   Parametrization of the log
  - Complementarity problem

### 3 Numerical results

# Classical resolution by Newton

• Residual:

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\alpha} s_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{S}^{T}[\mu^{\circ}/(\mathrm{RT}) + \ln \boldsymbol{\xi}] \\ [\mathbf{1}_{\alpha}^{T} \boldsymbol{\xi} + r_{\alpha} - 1]_{\alpha} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

• Jacobian:

$$J_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{bmatrix} s_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} & \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{S}^{T, \alpha} \mathbf{diag} \left\{ \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha}} \right\} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{1}_{\alpha}^{T} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### • Issues:

- $1/\xi_i$  blows up when  $\xi_i \to 0$ .
- Does not preserve the positivity of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ .
- Orders of magnitude of *ξ*.

## The log trick

• Modified residual:

$$\mathbf{y} = \ln \boldsymbol{\xi} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\alpha} s_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \exp \mathbf{y}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{S}^{T} [\mu^{\circ} / (\mathrm{RT}) + \mathbf{y}] \\ [\mathbf{1}_{\alpha}^{T} \exp \mathbf{y}^{\alpha} + r_{\alpha} - 1]_{\alpha} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

• Jacobian:

$$J_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{bmatrix} s_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \operatorname{diag} \left\{ \exp \mathbf{y}^{\alpha} \right\} & \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \exp \mathbf{y}^{\alpha} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{S}^{T, \alpha} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{1}_{\alpha}^{T} \operatorname{diag} \left\{ \exp \mathbf{y}^{\alpha} \right\} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Issue:  $\exp y_i$  blows up when  $y_i \to \infty$ .

Basic idea [Brenner & Cancès 2017, Bassetto & al. 2021]

• Parametrization of the graph:

$$\mathbf{Y}(oldsymbol{ au}) = \ln \mathbf{X}(oldsymbol{ au})$$

• Residual:

$$\mathcal{F}(\tau, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\alpha} s_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \mathbf{X}(\tau^{\alpha}) - \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{S}^{T}[\mu^{\circ}/(\mathbf{RT}) + \mathbf{Y}(\tau)] \\ [\mathbf{1}_{\alpha}^{T} \mathbf{X}(\tau^{\alpha}) + r_{\alpha} - 1]_{\alpha} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

• Jacobian:

$$J_{\alpha}(\tau, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{bmatrix} s_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \operatorname{diag} \left\{ \mathbf{X}'(\tau^{\alpha}) \right\} & \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \mathbf{X}(\tau^{\alpha}) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{S}^{T, \alpha} \operatorname{diag} \left\{ \mathbf{Y}'(\tau^{\alpha}) \right\} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{1}_{\alpha}^{T} \operatorname{diag} \left\{ \mathbf{X}'(\tau^{\alpha}) \right\} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

## The switch function [Jonval, Ben Gharbia, Cancès, Faney & Tran 2025]



# Outline

### Context and motivation

### 2 Chemical equilibrium problem

- Unified formulation
- Parametrization of the log
- Complementarity problem

#### 3 Numerical results

# Complementarity problem

• The complementarity problem:

 $s_{\alpha}r_{\alpha} = 0$  and  $s_{\alpha}, r_{\alpha} \ge 0$ .  $r_{\alpha}$  $s_{\alpha}$  $s_{\alpha} = r_{\alpha} = 0$ 

## Semi-smooth methods

• Complementarity function:

$$\Psi(s_{\alpha}, r_{\alpha}) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad s_{\alpha}r_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ et } s_{\alpha} \ge 0, r_{\alpha} \ge 0.$$



$$\Psi_{\rm FB} = s_\alpha + r_\alpha - \sqrt{s_\alpha^2 + r_\alpha^2}$$

## Interior points method

• Smoothing of the complementarity:

$$s_{\alpha}r_{\alpha}=\nu^{(k)}$$

• Sequence tending to zero:

$$\nu^{(k+1)} = \Theta(\nu^{(k)})$$

• Maintaining positivity:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}^{(k+1)} &= \mathbf{s}^{(k)} + \beta_{\mathbf{s}}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{s}^{(k)} \\ \mathbf{r}^{(k+1)} &= \mathbf{r}^{(k)} + \beta_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{r}^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

# Complementarity parametrization

• Parametrization:

$$S(\eta)R(\eta) = 0$$

• Residual:

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{Ph}} S(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\alpha}) \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{b} = 0, \\ &\mathbf{S}^{T}[\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\circ}/(RT) + \ln \boldsymbol{\xi}] = \mathbf{0}, \\ &\sum_{i} \xi_{i} + R(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\alpha}) - 1 = 0, \quad (\forall \alpha) \end{split}$$

• Dimension:  $|\mathcal{S}| + |\mathcal{P}|$ 

# Complementarity parametrization functions



• Line search:

 $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(k+1)} = \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(k)} + \beta_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{(k)} \delta \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(k)}$ 

# Outline

## Context and motivation

### 2 Chemical equilibrium problem

- Unified formulation
- Parametrization of the log
- Complementarity problem

### 3 Numerical results

## Numerical results

- log-trick vs. Parametrization
- Complementarity parametrization vs. FB function vs. IPM
- Tolerance for the Newton algorithm:  $10^{-10}$
- Test case:
  - ► SiO2:

$$|\mathcal{S}| = 5, \quad |\mathcal{E}| = 3, \quad |\mathcal{R}| = 2, \quad |\mathcal{P}| = 2$$

Multiphase seawater:

$$|S| = 72, |\mathcal{E}| = 13, |\mathcal{R}| = 59, |\mathcal{P}| = 22$$

- **A** : present mineral phase  $(s_{\text{mineral}} > 0)$
- **B** : absent but stable mineral phase  $(r_{\text{mineral}} = s_{\text{mineral}} = 0)$
- **C** : absent mineral phase  $(r_{\text{mineral}} > 0)$

Log formulation	Complementarity	Configuration			
	Complementarity	Α	В	С	
Log tick	param	7	7	10	
	$\mathbf{FB}$	8	7	15	
	IPM	9	52	12	
Param	param	5	5	10	
	FB	7	6	14	
	IPM	9	52	12	

# Multiphase seawater

• Variation in oxygen quantity:

<b>b</b> <sub>O</sub>	Nb of phases				
	aqueous	mineral	gazeous		
55	1	1	0		
15	1	2	0		
5	1	3	0		
1	1	5	0		
0.5	1	5	1		

Table: Number of present phases

Log formulation	Complementarity	Oxygen quantity				
Log Ior mutation		55	15	5	1	0.5
Log	param	21	26	46	27	×
	$\mathbf{FB}$	91	×	×	×	×
	IPM	×	×	×	×	×
Param	param	27	31	36	29	31
	$\mathbf{FB}$	70	67	65	52	63
	IPM	×	×	×	×	55

Table: Number of iterations

# Results of multiphase Seawater



Figure: Residuals evolution  $\mathbf{b}_{O} = 5$ .

# Conclusion and prospects

- Rigorous derivation of the **unified formulation** for reactive flashes
- Parametrization for complementarity conditions looks promizing
- Improved **robustness and efficiency** of Newton's method for difficult multiphase systems

- ▷ Coupling with transport in porous media
- ▷ Beyond ideal activities
- ▷ Acceleration of Newton's method by pre-flattening technics
   → PhD thesis of Ngoc Do Quyen Dang (2024-2027)