# Multi-marginal optimal transport and applications 

Brendan Pass<br>University of Alberta<br>May 23, 2013

## Motivation

- A large construction company wants to build many houses.


## Motivation

- A large construction company wants to build many houses.
- The company employs many carpenters, electricians, plumbers, etc.


## Motivation

- A large construction company wants to build many houses.
- The company employs many carpenters, electricians, plumbers, etc.
- Need to build teams.
- Each team consists of one tradesperson of each type and is responsible for building one house.


## Motivation

- A large construction company wants to build many houses.
- The company employs many carpenters, electricians, plumbers, etc.
- Need to build teams.
- Each team consists of one tradesperson of each type and is responsible for building one house.
- Different combinations of people work more of less well together.
- Different potential teams have different efficiencies.


## Motivation

- A large construction company wants to build many houses.
- The company employs many carpenters, electricians, plumbers, etc.
- Need to build teams.
- Each team consists of one tradesperson of each type and is responsible for building one house.
- Different combinations of people work more of less well together.
- Different potential teams have different efficiencies.
- Want to construct teams to make overall process as efficient as possible.
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## Background on (two marginal) optimal transportation

- Optimal transportation with two marginals $(m=2)$ is an active and well established area of research.
- Many diverse applications, including: fluid mechanics, cosmology, interacting gases, meteorology, image processing, economics, etc.
- Brenier '87, Gangbo '95, Caffarelli '96, Gangbo-McCann '96, Levin '96: Assume $\mu_{1} \ll d x_{1}$ and that $b$ is twisted, ie:

$$
x_{2} \mapsto D_{x_{1}} b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \text { is injective. }
$$

Then $\gamma$ is concentrated on the graph of a function over $x_{1}$ and is unique.

- Example: $b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=-\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}$.


## Background on multi-marginal problems: good surpluses

- Multi-marginal problems have many emerging applications, in economics, physics, $m$-monotonicity, image processing, financial math, statistics, etc., but are not well understood.
- For certain special surplus functions, the optimal $\gamma$ is unique and is concentrated on a graph over $x_{1}$ : $\left\{\left(x_{1}, F_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, F_{m}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}\right.$.
- Gangbo-Swiech '98: $b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=-\sum_{i \neq j}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}$
- Heinich '02: $b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=h\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{m}\right)$ where $h: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly convex.
- P '11: Strong second order conditions on $b$. For example, when $m=3$, we require, for all $x_{1}, \overline{x_{1}} \in M_{1}, x_{2} \in M_{2}$, $x_{3}, \overline{x_{3}} \in M_{3}$, we have:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D_{x_{2} x_{3}}^{2} b\left[D_{x_{1} x_{3}}^{2} b\right]^{-1} D_{x_{1} x_{2}}^{2} b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)-D_{x_{2} x_{2}}^{2} b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \\
+D_{x_{2} x_{2}}^{2} b\left(\overline{x_{1}}, x_{2}, \overline{x_{3}}\right)>0 .
\end{array}
$$

- Kim-P '13: $b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=-\inf _{y \in M}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} d^{2}\left(x_{i}, y\right)\right]$ on a Riemannian manifold $M$.


## Background on multi-marginal problems: bad surpluses

- For other surplus functions, solutions can be non-unique and have high dimensional support. Examples:
- $b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=-\sum_{i \neq j}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}$, arises in density functional theory for Coulombic electronic interactions in quantum physics (Cotar-Friesecke-Kluppelberg '11 and Buttazzo-De Pascale-Gori-Giorgi '12).
- $b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\sum_{i \neq j}^{m}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}$, arises when Coulombic interactions are replaced by repulsive, harmonic oscillator interactions.
- $b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ (when $\left.n=m\right)$ Carlier-Nazaret '06 .
- $b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=h\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{m}\right), h$ strictly concave .
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- Model due to Carlier-Ekeland '10 and Chiappori-McCann-Nesheim '10.
- Measure $\mu_{1}$ represents a distribution of buyer types, looking to buy, say, custom built houses; $\mu_{i}(i \geq 2)$ represents a distribution of a type of worker needed to build houses (ie, carpenters, plumbers, electricians, etc.)
- Buyer $x_{1}$ has a preference $f_{1}\left(x_{1}, z\right)$ for a house type $z \in Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$; worker $x_{i}(i \geq 2)$ has a preference $f_{i}\left(x_{i}, z\right)$ to build house of type $z$.
- Finding an equilibrium in this market is equivalent to solving an optimal transport problem with surplus

$$
b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\sup _{z \in Z} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}\left(x_{i}, z\right)
$$
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## Example application 2: density functional theory

- Model due to Cotar-Friesecke-Kluppelberg '11 and Buttazzo-De Pascale-Gori-Giorgi '12).
- Measures $\mu_{i}$ represent particle densities of $m$ semi-classical electrons.
- Electrons are indistinguishable $\rightarrow \mu_{i}=\mu$.
- Given $\mu$, the single particle density, want to find the $m$-particle density (a measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n m}$ ) minimizing the total interaction energy.
- Leads to an optimal transport problem with $b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=-\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}$.
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- Take $n=1$.
- For $m=2$, if $\frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}>0$, optimal map $x_{2}=F_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ is increasing.
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- For $m=3$, if $\frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}>0$, for all $i \neq j \exists$ ! optimal maps $x_{2}=F_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), x_{3}=F_{3}\left(x_{1}\right)$, both increasing (Carlier '03)
- Coordinate invariant condition: $\frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial x_{3} \partial x_{2}}\right]^{-1} \frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial x_{3} \partial x_{1}}>0$.
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- Let the signature of $G$ be $\left(\lambda_{+}, \lambda_{-}, m n-\lambda_{+}-\lambda_{-}\right)$.
- $\operatorname{spt}(\gamma)$ is spacelike: $V^{T} \cdot G \cdot V \geq 0$ for all $V \in T(\operatorname{spt}(\gamma))(\mathrm{P}$ '11).
- It's dimension is no more than $m n-\lambda_{-}$.
- $m n-\lambda_{-}=n$ iff $\left(D_{x_{1} x_{2}}^{2} b\right)\left[\left(D_{x_{3} x_{2}}^{2} b\right)\right]^{-1}\left(D_{x_{3} x_{1}}^{2} b\right)>0$.
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## Rotationally invariant repulsive surplus

- Examples: $\operatorname{det}\left(x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{m}\right),-\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}, \sum_{i \neq j}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}$.
- Optimal measure $\gamma$ is rotationally symmetric. (see, e.g. Carlier-Nazaret '06 )
- If $x, y, z \in \operatorname{spt}(\gamma)$, then
- $(x, y, z) \in \operatorname{argmax}_{|\bar{x}|=r,|\bar{y}|=s,|\bar{z}|=t} b(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$
- $(A x, A y, A z) \in \operatorname{spt}(\gamma)$ for any rotation matrix $A$.
- Some rotations fix $x$ but not $y$, assuming $x$ and $y$ are not co-linear (get non Monge solutions).
- These rotational directions are extra spacelike directions for $G$.
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- This class includes $-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}$ (Gangbo-Swiech surplus), $h\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)$, for strictly convex $h$, (Heinich surplus).
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- For which surplus functions is the optimizer concentrated on the graph of a function over $x_{1}$ ?
- For $m=2$, the twist, injectivity of $x_{2} \mapsto D_{x_{1}} b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, suffices.
- For $m=3$, these type of results hold for

$$
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- This class includes $-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}$ (Gangbo-Swiech surplus), $h\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)$, for strictly convex $h$, (Heinich surplus).
- Optimal maps factor through a measure on $Z$ (the generalized barycenter) Agueh-Carlier '10.
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- For which surplus functions is the optimizer concentrated on the graph of a function over $x_{1}$ ?
- For $m=2$, the twist, injectivity of $x_{2} \mapsto D_{x_{1}} b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, suffices.
- For $m=3$, these type of results hold for

$$
b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sup _{z \in Z} \sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i}\left(x_{i}, z\right)
$$

- This class includes $-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}$ (Gangbo-Swiech surplus), $h\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)$, for strictly convex $h$, (Heinich surplus).
- Optimal maps factor through a measure on $Z$ (the generalized barycenter) Agueh-Carlier '10.
- Can easily calculate $\left(D_{x_{1} x_{2}}^{2} b\right)\left[\left(D_{x_{3} \chi_{2}}^{2} b\right)\right]^{-1}\left(D_{x_{3} x_{1}}^{2} b\right)>0$ (under mild conditions on the $f_{i}$ ).
- One can also prove Monge solutions and uniqueness under strong differential conditions on $b$ ( $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} 11$ ), or under a twist like condition on special sets (Kim-P (in preparation)).
- In the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, the differences become even more pronounced.
- For the surplus $-\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|x_{s}-x_{t}\right|^{2} d s t d t$, we get unique Monge type solutions ( $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} 13$ ).
- For $-\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\binom{m}{2}} \sum_{i \neq j}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}$; the (unique) optimal measure is product measure (Cotar-Friesecke-P (in preparation) ).

