

Mean-field SDE driven by a fractional BM. A related stochastic control problem

Rainer Buckdahn, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest

Sophia-Antipolis, March, 30-31, 2017

Outline

Introduction

Preliminaries

Mean-field SDE driven by a fBM

The mean-field control problem

Introduction

Our objective: Study of the control problem with the dynamics driven by a fBM B^H with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$

$$X_t^u = x + \int_0^t \sigma(P_{X_s^u}) dB_s^H + \int_0^t b(P_{(X_s^u, u_s)}, X_s^u, u_s) ds,$$

where $x \in R$, $u \in \mathcal{U}(0, T)$ - adapted control process with values in a convex open set $U \subset R^m$, and with the cost functional:

$$J(u) = E \left[\int_0^T f(P_{(X_t^u, u_t)}, X_t^u, u_t) dt + g(X_T^u, P_{X_T^u}) \right];$$

characterisation of an optimal control $u^* \in \mathcal{U}(0, T)$ s.t. J takes its minimum over $\mathcal{U}(0, T)$ at u^* .

Short state-of-art:

- Several recent works on Pontryagin's maximum principle for mean-field control problems driven by a BM: + Carmona, Delarue (2015),..., + Buckdahn, Li, Ma (Pontryagin's principle for a mean-field control problem with partial observation, 2016);
- Several recent works on Peng's maximum principle (using spike control) for mean-field control problems driven by a BM: + Buckdahn, Djehiche, Li (2011), + Buckdahn, Li, Ma (2016);
- The fBM is not a semimartingale which makes the analysis much more subtle; much less works on maximum principle for control problems driven by a fBM: + Biagini, Hu, Oksendal, Sulem (2002), Hu, Zhou (linear control system, Riccati equation is a linear BSDE driven by a BM and a fBM, 2005), Han, Hu, Song

(2013) (Pontryagin's maximum principle with regularity assumptions -as Malliavin differentiability- on the optimal control process; adjoint equation is a linear BSDE driven by a BM and a fBM);

+ Buckdahn, Jing: Peng's maximum principle for control problem driven by a BM and a fBM (2014). With Shuai Jing we try to avoid regularity conditions on the optimal control.

Preliminaries

(Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) complete probability space;

1. Fractional BM. A minimalist overview:

- fBM with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$: centered Gaussian process B^H with

$$\text{cov}(B_t^H, B_s^H) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}), \quad s, t \geq 0.$$

For $H \in (1/2, 1)$, \exists BM W s.t. $B_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) dW_s$, $t \in [0, T]$, with kernel

$$K_H(t, s) = c_H s^{1/2-H} \int_s^t (u-s)^{H-3/2} u^{H-1/2} du, \quad t > s,$$

with constant $c_H = [H(2H-1)/\beta(2-2H, H-1/2)]^{1/2}$,

where $\beta(\alpha, \gamma) = \Gamma(\alpha + \gamma)/(\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma))$ (Beta function), $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx$ (Gamma function).

- Stochastic integral w.r.t. fBM: Let $f \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$; $f \in \text{Dom}(\delta_H)$, if $\exists \delta_H(f) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ s.t., for all $G \in \mathbf{D}_{1,2}^H$,

$$E[G\delta_H(f)] = \int_0^T E[f(t)\mathbf{D}_t^H G] dt.$$

If $fI_{[s,t]} \in \text{Dom}(\delta_H)$, $\int_s^t f(r)dB_r^H := \delta_H(fI_{[s,t]})$.

Fractional calculus:

- Malliavin derivatives. D_t^H - classical Malliavin derivative but now w.r.t. B^H instead of a BM;

$$\mathbf{D}_s^H G = \int_0^T H(2H-1)|s-r|^{2H-2} D_r^H G dr = (K_H K_H^* D \cdot^H G)(s), \quad G \in \mathcal{S},$$

where K_H is an operator on $\mathcal{H} := \left\{ \left(\int_0^t K_H(t,s) \hat{f}(s) ds \right)_{t \in [0,T]}, \hat{f} \in L^2([0,T]) \right\}$ defined by

$$(K_H \psi)(s) = c_H \Gamma(H-1/2) s^{1/2-H} I_{0+}^{H-1/2} (u^{H-1/2} \psi(u))(s)$$

with $I_{0+}^\alpha(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{f(u)}{(x-u)^{1-\alpha}} du$, for almost all $x \in [0,T]$, and K_H^* is its adjoint operator on \mathcal{H} . Important: for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\int_0^T \psi(t) dB^H(t) = \int_0^T (K_H^* \psi)(t) dW(t), \quad \int_0^T \psi(t) dW(t) = \int_0^T (K_H^{*-1} \psi)(t) dB^H(t).$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{F} := \mathbf{F}^W = \mathbf{F}^{B^H}$.

- L^2 -norm of stochastic integral: For all $f \in \text{Dom}(\delta_H)$,

$$E\left[\left|\int_0^T f(t)dB_t^H\right|^2\right] = E\left[\int_0^T |K_H^* f(t)|^2 dt\right] + 2E\left[\int_0^T \int_0^s \mathbf{D}_s^H f(r) \mathbf{D}_r^H f(s) dr ds\right].$$

Mean-field SDE driven by a fBM

Given $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P)$, $\Theta \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; R^m)$, we consider the SDE:

$$(1) \quad X_t = \xi + \int_0^t (\gamma_s X_s + \sigma(s, P_{(X_s, \Theta_s)})) dB_s^H + \int_0^t b(s, P_{(X_s, \Theta_s)}, X_s) ds,$$

where $\sigma : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(R \times R^m) \rightarrow R$ and $b : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(R \times R^m) \times R \rightarrow R$ satisfy the following conditions:

(H1) For any $s \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in R$, $\eta, \eta' \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and $\Theta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; R^m)$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(s, P_{(\eta, \Theta)})| &\leq C, \quad |b(s, P_{(\eta, \Theta)}, x)| \leq C(1 + W_2(P_{(\eta, \Theta)}, P_{(0, \Theta)}) + |x|), \\ |\sigma(s, P_{(\eta, \Theta)}) - \sigma(s, P_{(\eta', \Theta)})| &\leq W_2(P_{(\eta, \Theta)}, P_{(\eta', \Theta)}), \\ |b(s, P_{(\eta, \Theta)}, x) - b(s, P_{(\eta', \Theta)}, x')| &\leq C(W_2(P_{(\eta, \Theta)}, P_{(\eta', \Theta)}) + |x - x'|). \end{aligned}$$

The SDE will be solved using Girsanov transformation: For $\gamma \in L^\infty([0, T]) \subset \mathcal{H}$,

$$\mathcal{T}_t(\omega) = \omega + \int_0^{t \wedge \cdot} K_H^*(\gamma I_{[0,t]})(s) ds,$$

$$\mathcal{A}_t(\omega) = \omega - \int_0^{t \wedge \cdot} K_H^*(\gamma I_{[0,t]})(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega,$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{A}_t \mathcal{T}_t(\omega) = \mathcal{T}_t \mathcal{A}_t(\omega) = \omega$. Moreover, for any $F \in \mathcal{S}$, from the Girsanov theorem

$$E[F] = E[F(\mathcal{T}_t) \varepsilon_t^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_t)] = E[F(\mathcal{A}_t) \varepsilon_t],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_t &= \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \gamma_s dB_s^H - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (K_H^*(\gamma I_{[0,t]}))^2(s) ds \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \int_0^t K_H^*(\gamma I_{[0,t]})(s) dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (K_H^*(\gamma I_{[0,t]}))^2(s) ds \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \varepsilon_t^p \right] < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad E \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \varepsilon_t^p(\mathcal{T}_t) \right] < +\infty, \quad \text{for all } p \in R.$$

Let $L^{2,*}([0, T]; R)$ be the Banach space of \mathbf{F} -adapted processes $\{\varphi(t), t \in [0, T]\}$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E [|\varphi(t)|^2 \varepsilon_t^{-1}] < +\infty.$$

Theorem. The above SDE (1) has a unique solution $X \in L^{2,*}([0, T]; R)$.

Remark. Proof is based on the following statement with rather technical proof:

Proposition. 1) $X \in L^{2,*}([0, T]; R)$ is a solution of our SDE iff it solves equ. (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & X_t(\mathcal{T}_t)\varepsilon_t^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_t) \\
 = & \xi + \int_0^t \sigma(s, P_{(X_s, \Theta_s)})\varepsilon_s^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_s)dB_s^H + \int_0^t b(s, \mathcal{T}_s, P_{(X_s, \Theta_s)}, X_s(\mathcal{T}_s))\varepsilon_s^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_s)ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

2) For all deterministic $\Theta \in L^\infty([0, T])$, $(\Theta_s \varepsilon_s^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_s))_{s \in [0, T]} \in \text{Dom}(\delta_H)$.

3) The above SDE (2) has a unique solution $X \in L^{2,*}([0, T]; R)$

Proof. Main tools:

+ The method of Girsanov transformation;

+ The formula for $E[|\int_0^T f(t)dB_t^H|^2]$ (involving f and its Malliavin derivative $\mathbf{D}f$);

+ Picard's iteration with the distance function $(E[|X_t - X'_t|^2 \varepsilon_t^{-1}])^{1/2}$.

The mean-field control problem

Recall: B^H fBM with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$; control state space $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ nonempty, convex, bounded; $\mathcal{U}([0, T]) := L_{\mathbf{F}}^{\infty}([0, T]; U)$.

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $u \in \mathcal{U}([0, T])$ we consider the control problem with dynamics

$$(3) \quad X_t^u = x + \int_0^t \sigma(P_{X_s^u}) dB_s^H + \int_0^t b(P_{(X_s^u, u_s)}, X_s^u, u_s) ds,$$

with the cost functional

$$(4) \quad J(u) = E \left[\int_0^T f(P_{(X_t^u, u_t)}, X_t^u, u_t) dt + g(X_T^u, P_{X_T^u}) \right].$$

Assumptions: (H2) $\sigma : \mathcal{P}_2(R) \rightarrow R$, $b : \mathcal{P}_2(R \times U) \times R \times U \rightarrow R$, $g : R \times \mathcal{P}_2(R) \rightarrow R$ and $f : \mathcal{P}_2(R \times U) \times R \times U \rightarrow U$ are bounded and Lipschitz (in all variables);

(H3) σ, b, f and g are C^1 in (x, μ, u) (with $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(R)$ and $\mathcal{P}_2(R \times U)$, respectively), and all first order derivatives are bounded and Lipschitz. This means, e.g., that, for some $C \in R$, for any $(\mu, y), (\mu', y') \in \mathcal{P}_2(R) \times R$,

$$|\partial_\mu \sigma(\mu, y) - \partial_\mu \sigma(\mu', y')| \leq C(W_2(\mu, \mu') + |y - y'|).$$

Under the above assumptions we have seen the existence and the uniqueness for every $u \in \mathcal{U}([0, T])$.

Assume that there is a $u^* \in \mathcal{U}([0, T])$ minimising $J : \mathcal{U}([0, T]) \rightarrow R$; $X^* := X^{u^*}$.

Objective: Characterisation of u^* by convex perturbation: For $u \in \mathcal{U}([0, T])$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, put $u^\varepsilon := u^* + \varepsilon(u - u^*) \in \mathcal{U}([0, T])$, $X^\varepsilon := X^{u^\varepsilon}$.

Lemma The following SDE obtained by formal differentiation of (3) for $u = u^\varepsilon$ w.r.t. ε at $\varepsilon = 0$, for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_t = & \int_0^t \tilde{E} \left[\partial_\mu \sigma \left(P_{X_s^*}, \tilde{X}_s^* \right) \tilde{Y}_s \right] dB_s^H \\
 & + \int_0^t \tilde{E} \left[\left\langle \partial_\mu b \left(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^* \right), \left(\tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{u}_s - \tilde{u}_s^* \right) \right\rangle \right] ds \\
 & + \int_0^t \partial_x b \left(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^* \right) Y_s ds + \int_0^t \partial_u b \left(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^* \right) (u_s - u_s^*) ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution $Y \in L_{\mathbf{F}}^2([0, T])$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E \left[\left| Y_t - \frac{X_t^\varepsilon - X_t^*}{\varepsilon} \right|^2 \right] = 0.$$

Above, $(\tilde{X}^*, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^*)$ is an independent copy of (X^*, Y, u, u^*) defined on a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$. The expectation $\tilde{E}[\cdot]$ under \tilde{P} only concerns $(\tilde{X}^*, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^*)$ but not (X^*, Y, u, u^*) .

As (X^*, u^*) is optimal, $J(u^\varepsilon) \geq J(u^*)$, $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. Thus,

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} J(u^\varepsilon) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} := \lim_{0 < \varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (J(u^\varepsilon) - J(u^*)) \geq 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} J(u^\varepsilon) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} &= E [\partial_x g(X_T^*, P_{X_T^*}) Y_T] + E \left[\tilde{E} \left[\partial_\mu g(X_T^*, P_{X_T^*}, \tilde{X}_T^*) \tilde{Y}_T \right] \right] \\ &+ E \left[\int_0^T \partial_x f(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, X_t^*, u_t^*) Y_t dt \right] \\ &+ E \left[\int_0^T \tilde{E} \left[(\partial_\mu f)_1(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, X_t^*, u_t^*, \tilde{X}_t^*, \tilde{u}_t^*) \tilde{Y}_t \right] dt \right] \\ &+ E \left[\int_0^T \tilde{E} \left[(\partial_\mu f)_2(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, X_t^*, u_t^*, \tilde{X}_t^*, \tilde{u}_t^*) (\tilde{u}_t - \tilde{u}_t^*) \right] dt \right] \\ &+ E \left[\int_0^T \partial_u f(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, X_t^*, u_t^*) (u_t - u_t^*) dt \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We introduce the adjoint BSDE with its \mathbf{F} -adapted solution (P, β) :

$$P_t = P_T - \int_t^T \alpha_s ds - \int_t^T \beta_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$P_T = \partial_x g(X_T^*, P_{X_T^*}) + \tilde{E} \left[\partial_\mu g(\tilde{X}_T^*, P_{X_T^*}, X_T^*) \right],$$

where $\alpha \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}([0, T])$ will be specified later. Applying Itô's formula (with B^H) taking the expectation and rearranging terms, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & E[Y_T P_T] \\ = & \int_0^T E \left[Y_s \left\{ \tilde{E} \left[\tilde{P}_s (\partial_\mu b)_1 (P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*) \right] + P_s \partial_x b (P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \alpha_s + \partial_\mu \sigma (P_{X_s^*}, X_s^*) E[\mathbf{D}_s^H P_s] \right\} \right] ds \\ & + \int_0^T E \left[\left(\tilde{E} \left[\tilde{P}_s (\partial_\mu b)_2 (P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, u_s^*) \right] \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + P_s \partial_u b (P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) \right) (u_s - u_s^*) \right] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Combining (5) with (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq & \int_0^T E[Y_s \{ \tilde{E}[\tilde{P}_s(\partial_\mu b)_1(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*)] + P_s \partial_x b(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) \\
& + \partial_\mu \sigma(P_{X_s^*}, X_s^*) E[\mathbf{D}_s^H P_s] + \partial_x f(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) + \alpha_s \\
& + \tilde{E}[(\partial_\mu f)_1(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*, u_s^*)] \}] ds \\
& + \int_0^T E[(u_s - u_s^*) \{ \tilde{E}[(\partial_\mu f)_2(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*, u_s^*)] \\
& + \partial_u f(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) \\
& + \tilde{E}[\tilde{P}_s(\partial_\mu b)_2(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*, u_s^*)] + P_s \partial_u b(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) \}] ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Putting the first integral equal to zero, we choose

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_s = & -\tilde{E}[\tilde{P}_s(\partial_\mu b)_1(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*)] - P_s \partial_x b(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) \\
& - \partial_\mu \sigma(P_{X_s^*}, X_s^*) E[\mathbf{D}_s^H P_s] - \partial_x f(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) \\
& - \tilde{E}[(\partial_\mu f)_1(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*, u_s^*)].
\end{aligned}$$

This gives the following form of the BSDE for $P_t = P_T - \int_t^T \alpha_s ds - \int_t^T \beta_s dW_s$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_t = P_T + \int_t^T & \left\{ \tilde{E}[\tilde{P}_s(\partial_\mu b)_1(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*, u_s^*)] \right. \\
 & + P_s \partial_x b(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) \\
 & + \partial_\mu \sigma(P_{X_s^*}, X_s^*) E[\mathbf{D}_s^H P_s] + \partial_x f(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) \\
 & \left. + \tilde{E}[(\partial_\mu f)_1(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*, u_s^*)] \right\} ds - \int_t^T \beta_s dW_s,
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

which is a mean-field BSDE driven by the standard Brownian motion W .

Let us assume that the above BSDE has an \mathbf{F} -adapted solution (P, β) (Discussion on existence and unique for the BSDE later).

Then (7) becomes

$$0 \leq \int_0^T E[(u_s - u_s^*) \{ \tilde{E}[(\partial_\mu f)_2(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*, u_s^*)] + \partial_u f(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) + \tilde{E}[\tilde{P}_s(\partial_\mu b)_2(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*, u_s^*)] + P_s \partial_u b(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) \}] ds. \quad (9)$$

As U is open and $u \in \mathcal{U}([0, T])$ arbitrary, we have

$$0 = \tilde{E}[(\partial_\mu f)_2(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, \tilde{X}_t^*, \tilde{u}_t^*, X_t^*, u_t^*)] + \partial_u f(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, X_t^*, u_t^*) + \tilde{E}[\tilde{P}_t(\partial_\mu b)_2(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, \tilde{X}_t^*, \tilde{u}_t^*, X_t^*, u_t^*)] + P_t \partial_u b(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, X_t^*, u_t^*),$$

dP -a.s., dt -a.e.

Hence, we have following necessary conditions of Pontryagin-type.

Theorem. If (X^*, u^*) is an optimal pair of our control problem, then (X^*, u^*) satisfies the following system:

$$X_t^* = x + \int_0^t \sigma(P_{X_s^*}) dB_s^H + \int_0^t b(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) ds, \quad (10)$$

$$P_T = \partial_x g(X_T^*, P_{X_T^*}) + \tilde{E}[\partial_\mu g(\tilde{X}_T^*, P_{X_T^*}, X_T^*)],$$

$$P_t = P_T - \int_t^T \beta_s dW_s + \int_t^T \left\{ \tilde{E}[\tilde{P}_s(\partial_\mu b)_1(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*, u_s^*)] \right. \\ \left. + P_s \partial_x b(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) + \partial_\mu \sigma(P_{X_s^*}, X_s^*) E[\mathbf{D}_s^H P_s] \right. \\ \left. + \partial_x f(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, X_s^*, u_s^*) + \tilde{E}[(\partial_\mu f)_1(P_{(X_s^*, u_s^*)}, \tilde{X}_s^*, \tilde{u}_s^*, X_s^*, u_s^*)] \right\} ds,$$

$$0 = \tilde{E}[\tilde{P}_t(\partial_\mu b)_2(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, \tilde{X}_t^*, \tilde{u}_t^*, X_t^*, u_t^*)] + P_t \partial_u b(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, X_t^*, u_t^*) \\ + \tilde{E}[(\partial_\mu f)_2(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, \tilde{X}_t^*, \tilde{u}_t^*, X_t^*, u_t^*)] + \partial_u f(P_{(X_t^*, u_t^*)}, X_t^*, u_t^*), \\ dP\text{-a.s.}, dt\text{-a.e.}$$

After this necessary condition we can give also a sufficient one:

Theorem. In addition to our standard assumptions, assume that $g = g(x, \mu)$ is

convex in (x, μ) and the Hamiltonian

$$H(\mu, x, u, y, z) := f(\mu, x, u) + b(\mu, x, u)y + \sigma(\mu)z$$

be jointly convex in (μ, x, u) . Let (u^*, X^*) satisfy the above system. Then (u^*, X^*) is optimal: $J(u^*) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}([0, T])} J(u)$.

Remark. The convexity assumption on the Hamiltonian implies practically the linearity of b and σ .

Another assumption in which b and σ don't need to be linear:

- + $g : R \times \mathcal{P}_2(R) \rightarrow R$ jointly convex in (x, μ) , with $\partial_x g \geq 0$, $\partial_\mu g \geq 0$;
- + $b(\mu, x, u) : \mathcal{P}_2(R \times U) \times R \times U \rightarrow R$ is jointly convex in (μ, x, u) with $(\partial_\mu b)_1(\mu, x, u, y) \geq 0$, strictly convex in (μ, u) ;
- + $f(\mu, x, u) : \mathcal{P}_2(R \times U) \times R \times U \rightarrow R$ is jointly convex in (μ, x, u) , and strictly convex in (μ, u) , with $(\partial_\mu f)_1(\eta, x, u, y) \geq 0$, $\partial_x f(\eta, x, u, y) \geq 0$;
- + $\sigma(\mu) \equiv \sigma \in R$.

Recall (Carmona, Delarue, 2015): g convex (strictly convex), if there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ (resp., > 0) such that for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$,

$$g(x', P_{\xi'}) - g(x, P_{\xi}) \geq \partial_x g(x, P_{\xi})(x' - x) + E[\partial_{\mu} g(x, P_{\xi}, \xi)(\xi' - \xi)] + \lambda(|x - x'|^2 + E[|\xi - \xi'|^2]).$$

Theorem. If in addition to our standard assumptions the above assumption is satisfied and (u^*, X^*) solves the above system, then (u^*, X^*) is optimal: $J(u^*) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}([0, T])} J(u)$.

Concerning the solvability of the coupled forward-backward system (10) under the conditions of the preceding Theorem, we proceed as follows:

For any given $(P, \xi) \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, we suppose that there is some $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t; U)$ such that:

$$0 = \tilde{E}[(\partial_\mu f)_2(P_{(\xi, \eta)}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \xi, \eta)] + \partial_u f(P_{(\xi, \eta)}, \xi, \eta) \\ + \tilde{E}[\tilde{P}(\partial_\mu b)_2(P_{(\xi, \eta)}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \xi, \eta)] + P(\partial_u b)(P_{(\xi, \eta)}, \xi, \eta).$$

Lemma. The mapping $(P, \xi) \rightarrow \eta$ is unique and $\eta = \eta(P, \xi)$ is Lipschitz in (P, ξ) under L^2 -norm.

Theorem. Under the assumptions of the preceding theorem (i.e., in particular $\sigma(\mu) = \sigma \in R$) and the preceding assumption, then, if the time horizon $T > 0$ is sufficiently small, there exists a unique solution $(X^*, (P, \beta), u^* = \eta(X^*, P))$ of the coupled FBSDE (10).

THANK YOU VERY MUCH!