#### An Implementation of User-Level Processes using Address Space Sharing

#### IPDPS/RADR 2020 (virtual meeting)

May 18, 2020 Atsushi Hori, Balazs Gerofi ,Yutaka Ishikawa Riken CCS (R-CCS) JAPAN

# Outline

- 1. Put an end to the long-term discussion
  - Kernel-Level Thread vs. User-Level Thread
    - Advantages and disadvantages
- 2. Proposing Bi-Level Thread
  - To take the best of the two worlds
  - User-level thread can be kernel-level thread and vice versa
  - User-level (thread) context switching
  - Blocking system-call can be called as a kernel-level thread
- 3. Combining Bi-Level Thread with Address Space Sharing
  - User-Level Process
    - Process context switching at user-level
- 4. Evaluation

## **Re-thinking Thread Models**

- Thread models (1:1, N:1, and M:N)
  - KC: Kernel Context, UC: User Context



- What if KCs and UCs in 1:1 model can be decoupled and coupled again ?
  - The 1:1 model and M:N (M==N) model can be interchangeable

# **Decoupling and Coupling**

- What if a UC is decoupled from KC ?
  - Decoupled UC can be scheduled by another KC
  - What happens on the decoupled KC ?
    - It has nothing to do (idling or blocked in some way)
  - This is transition for a KLT (Kernel-Level Thread) to be a ULT (User-Level Thread)
- What if the decoupled UC wants to be coupled again ?
  - The idling KC now schedules the UC
  - This is the transition for a ULT to be a KLT
- However, KC must always be associated with a UC
  - A KC cannot be idling without a UC
  - But the UC has to be decoupled so that it can be scheduled by another KC...

## Trampoline Context

This problem can be resolved by introducing another small context (Trampoline Context)



# Resolving Blocking System-call Issue

- Issue
  - When a ULT calls a blocking system-call,
    - the scheduling KC is also blocked, and
    - the other eligible-to-run ULTs have no chance to be scheduled
- Solution by using coupling and decoupling
  - Assumption:
    - A ULT is going to call a blocking system-call
    - The ULT was created with KCo
    - The ULT is already decoupled and scheduled by the KCs
  - 1. before the ULT calls the system-call, it is coupled with KCo
  - 2. then the ULT calls the system-call
  - 3. after returning from the system-call, it is decoupled so that KCs can schedule it

# Address Space Sharing

- What is Address Space Sharing (ASS) technique ?
  - "Processes" share the same address space
  - Here "process" is defined as an execution entity having privatized static variables, and ASS "processes" may be derived from different programs
    - threads share all static variables, and threads are derived from the same program
  - ASS is different from POSIX shared memory (PSM)
    - ASS share the whole address space (and a page table)
    - PSM shares only some specific memory pages
- Process-in-Process (PiP)
  - Pure user-level implementation of ASS

A. Hori, M. Si, B. Gerofi, M. Takagi, J. Dayal, P. Balaji, and Y. Ishikawa, "Process-in-process: Techniques for practical address-space sharing," in *Proceedings of the 27th International Symposium on High-Performance Parallel and Distributed Computing*, ser. HPDC 18.



# BLT + ASS = User-Level Process (ULP)

- ASS allows for a process to context-switch one to the other at user-level => Fast context switching
- The differences between ULT and ULP
  - Threads share most OS kernel resources while processes do not
  - Example: getpid()
    - threads have the same PID
    - each process has its own unique PID
- In ULP
  - System-call consistency must be preserved
    - by using decouple and couple
  - Thread Local Storage (TLS) must also be switched when switching contexts
    - In most ULT implementations, TLS switching is ignored

#### **Evaluation Results**



# Summary

ULP-PiP will be available at https://github.com/RIKEN-SysSoft

- Proposing
  - Bi-Level Thread (BLT)
    - Decoupling and coupling UC and KC
    - Trampoline Context to block decoupled KC
    - Able to handle blocking system-calls effectively
  - User-Level Process (ULP) by using Address Space Sharing
    - Switching Thread Local Storage (TLS)
    - System-call consistency
  - Coupling and decoupling can be applied to
    - resolve the blocking system-call issue, and
    - preserve system-call consistency in ULP
- Evaluation (ULP vs. AIO)
  - Coupling and decoupling scheme of ULP-PiP outperforms AIO