## Towards a Constrained Clustering Algorithm Selection

**Guilherme Alves**, Miguel Couceiro, Amedeo Napoli

Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Inria Nancy G.E., LORIA

## Outline

#### ■ Introduction

- Background
- Proposed Approach
- Experiments and Results
- Future Directions



■ An increasing number of available algorithms

Several parametrization and pre-processing approaches

■ "No free lunch"

## Save time by reducing the number of alternative algorithms tried out on a given problem

### Introduction Meta-learning

Appears in ML research in 90s

A meta-learning system must include

- 1. A learning subsystem, which **adapts with experience**.
- 2. Experience is gained by exploiting meta-knowledge extracted
  - in a previous learning episode on a single dataset
  - or from different domains or problems.
- One case of meta-learning:
  - Algorithm Selection (to recommend an algorithm automatically)
    - The classic application: classification
    - Some research works on **clustering**

# Introduction Algorithm Selection Problem (ASP)

Given a set P of algorithms  $p \in P$ , a set D of datasets  $d \in D$  and a cost metric  $m: P \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 

**Goal**: finding a mapping  $s: D \rightarrow P$ 

such that the cost  $\sum_{d \in D} m(s(d), d)$  across all datasets is optimized.

Rice, J. R. (1976). The algorithm selection problem. In Advances in computers (Vol. 15, pp. 65-118). Elsevier.

SFC'19 Nancy



https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/clustering.html#clustering



https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/clustering.html#clustering

**Examples:** mean, variance, std deviation, kurtosis, skewness



#### **Examples**:

a label representing the recommended algorithm, a sequence of algorithms



**Examples:** mean, variance, std deviation, kurtosis, skewness



#### **Examples**:

a label representing the recommended algorithm, a sequence of algorithms



**Examples:** mean, variance, std deviation, kurtosis, skewness



#### **Examples:**

a label representing the recommended algorithm, a sequence of algorithms



**Examples:** mean, variance, std deviation, kurtosis, skewness



#### **Examples:**

a label representing the recommended algorithm, a sequence of algorithms



**Examples:** mean, variance, std deviation, kurtosis, skewness



#### **Examples:**

a label representing the recommended algorithm, a sequence of algorithms



**Examples:** mean, variance, std deviation, kurtosis, skewness



#### **Examples:**

a label representing the recommended algorithm, a sequence of algorithms





https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/clustering.html#clustering



SFC'19 Nancy

# Introduction Constrained Clustering

■ **Must-link**: instances must be assigned to the same cluster

■ **Cannot-link**: instances should be assigned to the distinct clusters

#### Extensions

■ K-means → COP-Kmeans, MPC-Kmeans



# Introduction Active Learning

#### Getting constraints is costly

 without guarantees of improvements in terms of quality of obtained clusters

#### Strategies to select informative constraints based on

- Uncertainty: NPU (Normalized Point-based Uncertainty)
- *k*-nearest neighbor graph: **ASC** (Ability to Separate between Clusters)



#### **Constrained Clustering Algorithm Selection Problem (CCASP)**

Given a set *P* of algorithms  $p \in P$ , a set *D* of datasets  $d \in D$ , the additional knowledge *K* and a cost metric  $m': P \times D \times K \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

**Goal**: finding a mapping  $s': D \times K \to P$ 

such that the cost  $\sum_{d \in D} m'(s'(d, k), d)$  across all datasets is optimized.

SFC'19 Nancy

### Introduction Literature

#### **Clustering Algorithm Selection**

[Ferrari & de Castro] 2015

(Constraint-Based Overlap) CBO 2017

[Pimentel & de Carvalho] 2019

#### **Constrained Clustering**

- 2001 COP-K-means
- 2004 MPC-K-means
- 2008 Min-Max
  - **2010** ASC (Ability to Separate between Clusters)
  - 2014 NPU (Normalized Point-based Uncertainty)

"The question remains **open** to which extent this and other features can be derived from constraints, and to what extent this can lead to better clustering algorithm selection." [Adam & Blockeel 2017]



#### Combining CBO with other constraints meta-features and our proposed meta-feature can help on providing accurate predictions in CCASP

## Background

### Background Meta-learning System for Clustering



#### Background Meta-learning System for Constrained Clustering

Let's now add one more variable in our previous scenario...

#### For each dataset we may have one or more set of constraints.

Several ways to specify constraints.

Here, we only consider pairwise constraints must-links and cannot-links

#### Background Meta-learning System for Constrained Clustering



### Background Meta-instance for CCASP



Background Constraint Based Overlap (CBO)

First proposed meta-feature for characterizing constraints

How the clusters overlap based on a given set of constraints Background Constraint Based Overlap (CBO)

First proposed meta-feature for characterizing constraints

- How the clusters overlap based on a given set of constraints
  - the overlap among short cannot-links



Adam, A., & Blockeel, H. (2017). Constraint-based measure for estimating overlap in clustering. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth Benelux Conference on Machine Learning* (Vol. 6, pp. 54–61). Background Constraint Based Overlap (CBO)

First proposed meta-feature for characterizing constraints

- How the clusters overlap based on a given set of constraints
  - the overlap among short cannot-links
  - the overlap among pairs of parallel must-link and cannotlink



Adam, A., & Blockeel, H. (2017). Constraint-based measure for estimating overlap in clustering. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth Benelux Conference on Machine Learning* (Vol. 6, pp. 54–61).

## **Proposed Approach**

## **Meta-features Schema**

- CBO
- Features computed from heuristics for selecting constraints
- Histograms built from distances between all pair of instances (constrained and unconstrained)
- Our proposed meta-feature: Constrained Neighborhood



#### META-INSTANCE SCHEMA

### Proposed Meta-feature Main Idea



#### a **well-spread set of constraints** can provide **holistic** information about the dataset

■ Histograms capture the most information possible about the problem being characterized [Kalousis 2002]

## Proposed Meta-feature Algorithm

#### Algorithm Constraint Neighborhood-Based Histogram

INPUT:

constraint\_set: must-link set (or cannot-link set),

k: maximum number of neighbors

OUTPUT:

*h*: the histogram in which each bar represents the proportion of shared *k*-nearest instances

```
 \begin{split} \mathcal{E} &= \{\}, \ h = [0, \dots, 0] \\ \text{for each constraint} \in set\_of\_constraint \ \text{do} \\ \text{for } i \in [0, k] \ \text{do} \\ \text{for } x \in constraint \ \text{do} \\ \mathcal{N} &= NearestNeighbors(x, i) \\ h \left[i\right] &= h[i] + \frac{|\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{E}|}{n} \\ \mathcal{E} \leftarrow \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{N} \\ \text{end\_for} \\ \text{end\_for} \\ \text{return } h \end{split}
```

Same datasets and number of constraints



- Same datasets and number of constraints
- **Different** constraints organization → **Different** histograms



k = 2

$$h[\mathbf{0}] = \frac{|\{a, b, c, d\}|}{14} \approx 0.3$$

$$h[\mathbf{0}] = \frac{|\{b, d, e\}|}{14} \approx 0.2$$

- Same datasets and number of constraints
- **Different** constraints organization → **Different** histograms



 $e_1$ 

k = 2

$$h[\mathbf{0}] = \frac{|\{a, b, c, d\}|}{14} \approx 0.3$$

$$h[1] = \frac{|\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1\}|}{14} \approx 0.3$$

$$h[0] = \frac{|\{b, d, e\}|}{14} \approx 0.2$$
$$h[1] = \frac{|\{b_1, d_1, e_1\}|}{14} \approx 0.2$$

4-Sep-19

SFC'19 Nancy

- Same datasets and number of constraints
- **Different** constraints organization → **Different** histograms



k = 2

 $h[0] = \frac{|\{a, b, c, d\}|}{14} \approx 0.3$  $h[1] = \frac{|\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1\}|}{14} \approx 0.3$ 

$$h[2] = \frac{|\{a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2\}|}{14} \approx 0.3$$

$$h[0] = \frac{|\{b, d, e\}|}{14} \approx 0.2$$
$$h[1] = \frac{|\{b_1, d_1, e_1\}|}{14} \approx 0.2$$

$$h[2] = \frac{|\{b_2, a_2\}|}{14} \approx 0.1$$

- Same datasets and number of constraints
- **Different** constraints organization → **Different** histograms

h = [0.3, 0.3, 0.3]

h = [0.2, 0.2, 0.1]

- Same datasets and number of constraints
- **Different** constraints organization → **Different** histograms





## **Experiments and Results**

## **Experimental Setup**

■ Datasets: 23 (available on openml.org)

#### Constraints generation

- randomly selected (uniform distribution)
- different sets for the same dataset
- different number of constraints
  - 0%, 25%, 50% ,100% over the number of instances

■ **Protocol**: leave-one-dataset-out

#### ■ Clustering algorithms:

- Constrained: COP-K-means (1), MPC-K-means (2),
- Unsupervised: K-means (3)



## **Experimental Results**

#### Meta-learner: Random Forest



## Conclusion

## ■ The larger number of trees: the **main advantages of our** approach over CBO

 we have more meta-features for describing the same clustering problems.

#### ■ ARI improvement

 our meta-features contribute to a better decision of which clustering algorithm should be employed (hypothesis).

## **Future Directions**



- Incorporate more algorithms
- Select most informative meta-instances (training phase)
- Online learning

## Thank you

## Questions?

## References

- Adam, A., & Blockeel, H. (2017). Constraint-based measure for estimating overlap in clustering. In Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth Benelux Conference on Machine Learning (Vol. 6, pp. 54–61). Retrieved from <u>https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/95683794.pdf</u>
- Bilenko, M., Basu, S., & Mooney, R. J. (2004). Integrating constraints and metric learning in semi-supervised clustering. In *ICML* (p. 11). New York, New York, USA: ACM Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/1015330.1015360</u>
- Brazdil, P., Carrier, C. G., Soares, C., & Vilalta, R. (2009). *Metalearning Applications to Data Mining*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-73263-1</u>
- Breiman, L. (2001). Random Forests. Machine Learning, 45(1), 5–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324</u>
- Cachada, M. V., Abdulrahman, S. M., & Brazdil, P. (2017). Combining feature and algorithm hyperparameter selection using some metalearning methods. In *AutoML@PKDD/ECML* (Vol. 1998). Retrieved from <u>https://repositorio.inesctec.pt/handle/123456789/7126</u>
- Mallapragada, P. K., Jin, R., & Jain, A. K. (2008). Active query selection for semi-supervised clustering. In *ICPR* (pp. 1– 4). IEEE. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPR.2008.4761792</u>
- Pimentel, B. A., & de Carvalho, A. C. P. L. F. (2019). A new data characterization for selecting clustering algorithms using meta-learning. *Information Sciences*, 477, 203–219. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.INS.2018.10.043</u>
- Ruiz, C., Spiliopoulou, M., & Menasalvas, E. (2007). C-DBSCAN: Density-Based Clustering with Constraints. 11th International Conference on Rough Sets, Fuzzy Sets, Data Mining and Granular Computing (Vol. 4482). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-72530-5</u>
- Vu, V., Labroche, N., & Bouchon-Meunier, B. (2010). Boosting Clustering by Active Constraint Selection. In ECAI. Lisbon, Portugal.
- Wagstaff, K., Cardie, C., Rogers, S., & Schrödl, S. (2001). Constrained k-means clustering with background knowledge. In *ICML* (Vol. 1, pp. 577-584).
- Wang, G., Song, Q., Zhang, X., & Zhang, K. (2014). A generic multilabel learning-based classification algorithm recommendation method. ACM TKDD, 9(1), 7.
- Xiong, S., Azimi, J., & Fern, X. Z. (2014). Active Learning of Constraints for Semi-Supervised Clustering. *IEEE TKDE*, 26(1), 43–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2013.22</u>